

South Carolina Opioid Recovery Fund Board Meeting
August 1, 2024
Edgar A. Brown Building, 1205 Pendleton Street, Room 252
Columbia, SC 29201

Call to Order

Board Chair Eric Bedingfield called the Board of Directors Meeting to order at 10:00 AM. Those in attendance and constituting a quorum were:

Board Members Present: Chair Eric Bedingfield, Vice Chair Aditi Bussells, Toby Chappell, Steven Donaldson, Martine Helou-Allen, Gary Mixon and Lisa Montgomery present via Zoom.

Approval of Minutes from Last Meeting

A motion to approve the minutes from the June 19, 2024 SCORF Board Meeting and the June 24, 2024 SCORF Board Meeting via Zoom was made by Mr. Mixon and seconded by Mr. Donaldson, all ayes, minutes approved m/c unanimous.

Attorney General's Office Report

Jared Libet, Assistant Attorney General, reported that a small additional restitution payment from Jansen had been received. Payments for 2024 were received from the distributors, Allergen, Teva, CVS, and Walgreens totaling approximately \$30 million dollars. The new settlement from Kroger is in the process of formal approval from the subdivisions and is approximately \$19 million dollars paid over several years. The next report will reflect the distribution of these funds.

Fund Financial Report

Denise Carraway, SFAA Director of Budget and Finance, informed the Board the financial audit for 2023 is in final review with the State Auditor's office and will be published upon approval. Ms. Carraway presented the Statement of Activities from January to June (attached). There were no questions from the Board.

SFAA Administrative Report

There was no report to give to the Board at this time.

Chairman's Report

Chair Bedingfield did not have a report to give to the Board at this time.

New Business

A. **DAODAS-SFAA Interagency Information Sharing Agreement**. Chair Bedingfield and the SCORF staff met with the Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Services regarding an information sharing MOU. Chair Bedingfield presented a DAODAS and SFAA interagency information sharing agreement for Board approval. He explained the purpose is to help clarify DAODAS and SCORF funding with one another to avoid duplication of funding efforts. Information about awarded applications will be shared, information about unapproved applications will not be shared. Mr. Donaldson asked whether SCORF would also get information about DAODAS's funding, and Staff said yes, this is a reciprocal agreement. The Board and Staff discussed designating a point person and communication with DAODAS

with the goal of not duplicating funding efforts. A motion to approve the DAODAS and SFAA Interagency Information Sharing Agreement was made by Mr. Mixon and seconded by Ms. Helou-Allen, agreement approved m/c unanimous.

B. Discretionary SubFund (DSF) application review. Chair Bedingfield presented the Board's combined application scores Board. Mr. Chappell asked how much total money there is available to distribute for discretionary applications. Attorney Libet reported there is currently \$16.5 million in this fund. The total for continuation applications before the Board is \$5.7 million dollars. Chair Bedingfield reminded the Board of the decision last cycle to distribute \$7.5 million dollars each funding round to keep the funds level in future years. The Board decided to use \$7.5 million as a target for this meeting. Chair Bedingfield said they will start with the top scores and work their way down. Vice Chair Bussells said a lot of applications mentioned MAT services that were at some point funded by DAODAS, asked the Board to keep in mind they may be approaching a saturation point with MAT, and wanted to be sure SCORF is not duplicating efforts. Board discussion that prior DSF applications were sorted as favorable v. unfavorable prior to a final vote. The Board decided to keep this same method.

- i. **Clemson University Zinzow.** Mr. Chappell recused himself from the discussion. This is a continuation application requesting \$146,536.00. Vice Chair Bussells said she remembers this application from the first round of funding, and it was strong application with expected continuation. The first year of this project was focused on development of evidence-based protocol focused on their specific population. The next two years will be focused on implementation, along with hiring two peer support specialists. Vice Chair Bussells recommended continuation of this project. The Board added this application to the favorable group.
- ii. **Greenville Family Partnership DBA Just Say Something.** Ms. Helou-Allen recused herself from discussion. This is a continuation application requesting \$1,043,580.00 in additional funds. Vice Chair Bussells said this is a good continuation application, however, it does not show their justification of funds spent or their remaining funds, and the application's score was retracted due to this missing information. Mr. Donaldson said their sustainability plan was well thought out and was the best of any sustainability plan he saw. Staff will request additional information and once this is received the application will be brought back before the Board. The Board added this application to the unfavorable group, pending requested missing information. Staff returned to the Board with an update for this application. This group reports that it is on target with program measures and have used their funds. The Board decided to add this application to the favorable group.
- iii. **York County All on Board.** A continuation application requesting \$45,000.00. Mr. Mixon said they scored this very high. They have shown results and have done good work for the community, and the way they are spending funds is responsible. Ms. Helou-Allen said the back-to-school drug disposal event may not be funded in time for September, and the Board discussed this. The Board added this application to the favorable group.

- iv. **A New Crossroad.** A new application for research requesting \$31,000.00. Vice Chair Bussells said this is focused on incarcerated individuals with a research-specific approach on how to improve addressing gaps in treatment services for incarcerated individuals. The applicant proposes utilizing mixed method interviewing of inmates who experience SUD within the South Carolina Department of Corrections. Researchers plan to provide recommendations for how to improve the delivery and effectiveness of existing substance use treatment services within the correctional setting. The Board added this application to the favorable group.
- v. **SC DAODAS.** Mr. Chappell recused himself from discussion. This is a continuation application requesting \$287,000.00. Mr. Donaldson expressed concern about the budget and sustainability plan but said it is a great application overall. Vice Chair Bussells expressed concern about their strategy. They have state funding and are asking for funds for the continuation of the Center of Excellence, which would include the core strategies of technical assistance, training, data analysis and funding of partners. The Board discussed this group's need to consider their sustainability plan and to better define their partners regarding efforts and results for amount of money requested. The Board added this application to the favorable group.
- vi. **Self Regional Healthcare.** Application requesting \$1,500,000.00 for a hospital system program. Mr. Mixon said it is a new medical stabilization unit within the hospital serving seven underserved counties, Greenwood, Laurens, Edgefield, Abbeville, McCormick, Saluda, and Newberry. This is a unique program that handles withdrawal symptoms related to OUD which is an area of great need. There are currently no organizations within Greenwood that offer medical stabilization to manage key withdrawal symptoms. Stabilization will happen at the hospital and then the warm hand-off will be to the partners. The Board added this application to the favorable group.
- vii. **Richland County Comprehensive Treatment Center, LLC Berkeley and Dorchester.** Application requesting is for \$240,000.00. They are asking for 1/5 of the cost of the project over a period of 10 years. Most of the request is for the upfit of a building, with \$40,000 for a community liaison for 6 months. Mr. Mixon said they have a successful track record, and therefore feels their request for \$200,000 toward an \$800,000 building is reasonable. The Board added this application to the favorable group.
- viii. **Goldfinch Health.** Application requesting \$364,450.00 for prevention measures targeting hospitals to help educate them and to reform their prescription practices and their needs assessment. South Carolina still prescribes at a high rate compared to nationally. The applicant provided a breakdown by specific communities where they want to enter five different hospitals in different regions throughout the state to try to help them to lower their number of prescriptions per capita. The applicant did this previously in Iowa with opioid abatement funds, and the governor was impressed with their outcomes and as a result they invested more money in other hospitals. The Board was impressed with this

application and recommended funding them. The Board added this application to the favorable group.

- ix. **Dispose Rx, Inc.** Applicant requests \$600,000.00 for safe disposal of medications by mailing them to this company for proper disposal. The Board expressed concerns about the applicant not showing actual demonstrated numbers of their success and impact. The Board discussed that this is a great harm reduction strategy, however, the method of distribution is a mass mail to households which does not have demonstrated impact numbers to show the campaign's effectiveness. The Board decided the distribution method was possibly inefficient and will give this group feedback and ask them to refine their application and approach and resubmit next year. The Board added this application to the unfavorable group.
- x. **The Courage Center.** Applicant requests \$ 316,497.78to expand program that currently exists in Lexington to the Orangeburg community. Board discussion about the numbers demonstrating the program's success, their partnerships and what they did to expand these. The Board discussed this community's recent deaths in the detention center and 6 overdoses in the community in one day and how the community is shaken by this. The Board added this application to the favorable group.
- xi. **Prisma Health Midlands.** A continuation application requesting \$360,219.00. Mr. Donaldson said their data source is 2020 data and more current data would be a stronger application. The plan itself is exceptional, is evidence based, they have good partnerships including the Courage Center with letters of commitment, and their idea of expanding efforts and continuation from last year is very well explained. They indicate no carry over in funding which is good for a carryover application. The narrative explains their peer support costs and they have no unjustified costs. The Board added this application to the favorable group.
- xii. **Richland County Comprehensive Treatment Center, LLC Richland County.** This application is a request for \$240,000.00. Chair Bedingfield said this could be a GPS application. Mr. Donaldson said he feels this is favorable because their methadone clinic will be on the north side and will benefit an underserved area of Richland. The Board discussed whether they tried to partner with Richland County, and Staff said they are not currently seeking funds from the county. The Board discussed modifying the DSF application to ask whether applicants are requesting funding from their counties during the funding season, and opportunities to create partnerships. The Board added this application to the favorable group.
- xiii. **Faith Home.** This application is a request for \$54,000.00 for a full-time case manager for an inpatient rehabilitation environment to help transition to recovery. They focus on warm handoff and recovery services. The Board liked the application, and the implementation of their strategies are well defined. The Board added this application to the favorable group.

- xiv. **Fyrebird Recovery.** A continuation application requesting \$173,290.00. The focus is mostly on harm reduction in the community and was one of the first of these that the Board funded. Discussion regarding expansion outside of the city limits for their population and focus on the county due to stigma around needle exchange programs. The Board added this application to the favorable group.

- xv. **Putting You First Counseling Services, LLC.** The application is requesting \$473,000.00 to add treatment 4-10 PM Monday- Saturday to complement regular DSS and other provider treatments. The implementation strategy was good, and they had milestones appropriately laid out. The Board discussed how generalized mental health treatment would lead to lower incidence of opioid use, which would therefore be prevention of maltreatment of children by people experiencing OUD. The Board decided the application's verbiage is not consistent about who they plan to help. The issue is the connection is unclear in their application and it was questionable whether they plan to use the requested funds only for families and or children whose parents are experiencing OUD. The Board added this application to the unfavorable group.

- xvi. **A Second Chance Resource Center Network United Inc.** This is a continuation application requesting \$559,716.93. The statement of need did not give adequate data about serving reentry youth who experience OUD. It appears they are pivoting to include reentry youth to increase the effectiveness of their plan due to a lack of success from their initial plan that was funded by this grant. The Board discussed concerns about the idea of changing the audience not changing the efficacy of their plan implementation, and that there is only one objective related to the goal of youth offender reentry. The only difference in strategy from the prior awarded grant is increase the outreach to youth which may not overcome the barriers they incurred during their previous grant implementation. The Board advised this group to put a better plan in place and to partner with other groups to increase their effectiveness. The Board added this application to the unfavorable group.

The Board took a short lunch break.

The meeting was called back to order and the Board resumed review of the DSF applications.

- xvii. **MUSC Health.** They do also have GPS funding. This application is for \$360,000.00 for a urine testing machine to detect opioid use. The budget is complete as well as the application, however the Board was unsure if this is an allowable expense since it will not be designated for use only for patients with OUD. The Board discussed the cost effectiveness of this request with consideration to this group already having a functional urine testing machine that meets CDC requirements, and that this is an opportunity to seek other funding sources for an expensive piece of equipment that will be used by multiple departments. The application was well written but does not justify the urgent need for the requested equipment. The Board determined the high expense of a more advanced testing machine to be more optional than urgent and encouraged MUSC to seek funding from other sources. The Board added this application to the unfavorable group.

- xviii. **Palmetto Carolina Treatment Center.** This application is a request for \$384,616.00 for uninsured and underinsured out of pocket expenses, additional funding for test strips not provided by DAODAS, neonatal acid syndrome, public transportation caravan for up to 15 patients per day and a part time patient navigator to manage patient transportation needs. The Board had questions about their implementation strategies for Uber and Lyft expenses and whether \$100 per hour is reasonable. This application is like others with similar requests and the Board said it was a strong application. The Board added this application to the favorable group.
- xix. **Southwest Carolina Treatment Center.** This application is a request for \$384,000.00. The focus is on uninsured and underinsured people that do not meet the eligibility criteria of DAODAS funding for treatment and transport, to provide them with MAT treatment and reduce the transportation barrier for treatment. This is an opioid treatment clinic that would have 20 slots available to transport people weekly. The Board discussed much of the budget being for an LLC to transport patients and whether the costs in the budget for this were reasonable. The Board added this application to the unfavorable group.
- xx. **Save the Children Federation.** This application is requesting \$1,000,000.00 for Barnwell, Greenwood, Clarendon, Dillon, Orangeburg, and Union counties. This is a literacy campaign designed for prevention for ages birth to 6th grade. They are attempting to draw a link between childhood literacy and incarceration. The Board discussed that the connection between general literacy in early childhood education and OUD later in life is not strong enough for this to be an opioid abatement strategy. The Board added this application to the unfavorable group.
- xxi. **SC DHEC COPE.** This is an application requests \$889,585.00 for post overdose health checks. Their goals are smart, but their goals are to expand, and it was unclear into how many counties they plan to expand. They are only asking for funds for four more paramedics, however currently have four paramedics and the utilization of staff was unclear. This is a strong program overall, but the budget is a bit confusing. Their planned expansion is into 12 additional counties. The Board decided to put this one aside for the moment. The Board came back to this and discussed it to try to determine the number of paramedics, number of counties, etc. to determine a base for outcomes. The Board added this application to the unfavorable group.
- xxii. **XOMAD.** This application is for \$2,000,000.00. It is for a social media platform to address education, marketing, and to pay social media influencers around the state to promote anti-opioid campaigns. They do not do a good job of demonstrating success of their programs. The Board discussed the use of social media campaigns and online influencers' lack of proven impact for prevention. Discussed robust media campaigns throughout the state. The Board added this application to the unfavorable group.

- xxiii. **Dottie's Pharmacy, LLC DBA Dottie's Specialty Pharmacy.** This application is a request for \$480,000.00 for the cost of personnel and medications for this program. This targets people in the underinsured gap between those with Medicaid and those with very good insurance. They use strong statistics showing the value of cost reduction and time barriers to treatment as two of the top impediments. They want to use clinical pharmacists to encourage patients and treatment and to advocate for long-acting injection products (LAI). The goal is to make these products available to underinsured people and is a demonstration project to show that LAIs are effective when done correctly. They plan to onboard 300 patients for LAI treatments. This pharmacy was founded 11 years ago, and they are one of three RAC accredited pharmacies in South Carolina. Board. The Board added this application to the favorable group.
- xxiv. **In the Meantime.** This application is requesting \$101,495.00. They did a good job of using qualitative and quantitative data to indicate problems in this York County. They demonstrated a need for safe sober housing for women that allows MAT and cited national advocacy bodies for this kind of support help. This plan calls for obtaining SCARR certifications to add six beds specifically for women in three sober living facilities. They want to expand capacity of sober living houses for women specifically so they will be able to have MAT. MAT needs are already met by Oxford house in York County and does allow MAT per contract with DAODAS, contrary to what this application states. The Board stated they found this misleading in the application. They are also very NA & AA focused and did not seem to support multiple pathways to recovery which limits the reach. The people involved are experienced and have letters of commitment and support, and the budgets are well written and justified. The Board noted the application doesn't discuss the number of women served in the past, is also concerned about it being a startup organization that is not fully operational. The Board added this application to the unfavorable group.
- xxv. **Lutheran Family Services in the Carolinas.** This is a continuation application requesting \$640,384.50. With SCORF grant funding in 2023 they are reaching individuals and families dealing with OUD and other SUDs in Calhoun, Kershaw Orangeburg, and Sumter counties through case management and referral services, unsheltered outreach, peer support, family recovery, Naloxone, fentanyl testing strips, etc. This project is built on strong connections with the community. Renewed funding will allow them to continue these vital services to reach more individuals in the **four**-county area and will not be duplicative with other existing services for continuation of the project. They will continue to distribute harm reduction supplies including Naloxone and fentanyl strips, pop-up events for unsheltered outreach in those counties and case management services. Their partners include the Courage Center, Alpha Center, and Kershaw Behavioral Health. Currently they are subsidizing the program themselves and need SCORF funding and acknowledge the need to explore other grant opportunities for sustainability. They demonstrated need and some progress was reported. Their intended outputs are defined, however, providing how many referrals that they made would be helpful in showing their outreach efforts. There is an issue with their budget not adding up which could be requested administrative costs that

are more than allowed. The Board set this application aside to gather more information to clarify their carryover and budget. Staff came back to the Board with budget clarification information which included a break down for requested funds. The Board added this application to the favorable group.

- xxvi. **Clemson University.** This request is for \$146,536.00. Mr. Chappell recused himself from the conversation. This is a data driven program to study what the impact of the prescription drug monitoring program, the impact of opioid use among cancer patients, and Medicaid patients and how they are disproportionately affected by OUD. The application is well written, but there is an issue of studying these policy impacts and their outcomes taking twenty years to determine since there are ways to determine this within 1-3 years, and some of these outcomes have already been studied and determined such as limiting the number of pills prescribed. The Board recommended to the group that their policy question is great and to refine their application so that this is studied in a timely manner and reapply. The Board added this application to the unfavorable group.
- xxvii. **New Season Greenville Metro Treatment Center.** This application requests \$500,000.00 for an outpatient treatment program that provides services to Greenville County and surrounding areas. The Board had an issue with this application listing types of proven models with no description of how these models operate within their program. They also want to do community referrals to provide support to improve quality of life but do not list their current relationships. The Board added this application to the unfavorable group.
- xxviii. **New Season Columbia.** The Board added this application to the unfavorable group in tandem with New Season Greenville.
- xxix. **Better Days Harm Reduction.** Mr. Donaldson recused himself from the conversation. This application is a request for \$25,000.00 for Executive Director salary and Narcan. This is for a particular focus group of the hospitality industry which includes bars and their employees. This application is about educating people working in the restaurant industry about naloxone and overdose. Since they were denied by potential partner DAODAS for Narcan, and this is a small request the Board found this application to be feasible. The Board added this application to the favorable group.
- xxx. **Sharlene Wedin/MUSC.** This application requests \$182,861.00 for chronic pain intervention. They have well-defined needs assessment, good smart goals, good sustainability plan, and excellent qualifications. The salaries and training seem reasonable, but an indirect cost of 50.5% seems unallowable. The Board added this application to the unfavorable group.
- xxxi. **NFL Alumni Association.** Ms. Helou-Allen recused herself from the conversation. This application is a request for \$1,228,000.00 to pay someone a significant salary from the NFLAA and someone from the state as point people to leverage campaigns in South Carolina. They have a great needs assessment but very heavy

overhead. They did not provide letters of support. The Board added this application to the unfavorable group.

- xxxii. **Barnwell County Commission on Alcohol & Drug Abuse.** This is a request for \$80,325.00 continuation application for a media campaign to reduce stigma and educate with available resources. They have \$300,000 in GPS funds, also receive money from the county and were funded by DSF last year. Their plan shows great results, but it is not clear why this isn't a GPS program. Their plan this year is the same as last year, and they provide some evidence of success, however the Board expressed concern about their ability to track their results. The Board added this application to the unfavorable group.
- xxxiii. **Shoreline Behavioral Health Services.** This is a request for \$700,000.00 to put toward the cost of expanding a building for a new medical facility portion, with construction to be completed by 2025. The funds will go toward the reception area, waiting room, nurse's office, pharmacy, and drug testing room. The statement of need shows Horry County statistics. Their client numbers show an increased need. The Board discussed the issue of the application appearing evasive regarding whether staff have been convicted of crimes, particularly not disclosing the type of convictions. The Board discussed the reason it is important to ask about convictions being because it can affect the organization's ability to bill Medicaid. The Board discussed the county's already held funds that could be available to this organization. The Board added this application to the unfavorable group.
- xxxiv. **York County Treatment Center.** This is a request for \$500,000.00 and is also New Seasons. The Board added this application to the unfavorable group.
- xxxv. **Crossroads Treatment Centers.** This is a request for \$1,246,765.00. The Board expressed concern that they haven't engaged with any partners in the counties they are trying to serve. They have more money for scholarships than on the facility, not focused on patients or positive outcomes. They show \$240,000 in scholarships but do not address effectiveness of scholarships in year one. The application states scholarships result in higher retention rates but there is no data provided to support this. The number of clients the peer specialist will see does not add up for the hours they will work. The Board added this application to the unfavorable group.
- xxxvi. **Children in Crisis DBA Dorchester Children's Advocacy Center.** This application requests \$122,000.00 to hire two new people to investigate child abuse, stating 18% of child abuse cases are due to drug exposure. The Board was concerned about the lack of strong connection between child abuse and OUD. The Board added this application to the unfavorable group.
- xxxvii. **Fairfield Behavioral Health Services.** This application requests \$470,000.00 for personnel to expand staff to add a peer support specialist, additional counselor, MAT physician or nurse practitioner. Their statement of need uses statistics that do not support request. Currently the MAT position only sees people 1 day per

week for 3.5 hours, they do not seem to have a need to expand. The Board added this application to the unfavorable group.

The Board reviewed favorable applications for decision to fund.

Motion to approve all favorable applications as read by Attorney Libet made by Mr. Chappell and seconded by both Vice Chair Bussells and Ms. Montgomery, m/c unanimous. The exact total approved for DSF applications is \$6,628,234.33.

Chair Bedingfield asked Board if the Board wanted to revisit any other applications, and after a short discussion the Board decided not to fund any other applications for this current DSF application cycle.

The next SCORF Board meeting is 9/11/24.

The meeting adjourned at 2:15 PM.

SETTLEMENT REPORT FOR AUGUST 1, 2024 MEETING

The Treasurer received a payment of \$515,258.91 on June 17, 2024. This amount represents the 2024 "additional restitution" payment from Janssen under the national settlement.

Pursuant to the terms of the agreement between the State of South Carolina and its participating political subdivisions, money shall be allocated as follows:

SOUTH CAROLINA OPIOID FUNDS OVERVIEW							
Date	Payment	Opioid Recovery	GPS Subfund	Disc Subfund	Adm Subfund*	Other	
7/15/2022	\$12,550,750.48	\$10,291,615.39	\$8,572,915.62	\$1,512,867.46	\$102,916.15	\$102,916.16	
9/15/2022	\$13,190,226.89	\$10,815,986.05	\$9,009,716.38	\$1,589,949.95	\$108,159.86	\$108,159.86	
10/18/2022	\$50,788,528.57	\$41,703,668.26	\$34,538,442.49	\$6,337,494.05	\$413,865.86	\$413,865.86	
1/31/2023	\$2,355,141.78	\$2,166,961.34	\$1,711,899.46	\$411,722.65	\$21,669.61	\$21,669.62	
6/16/2023	\$554,894.21	\$554,894.21	\$110,978.84	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$443,915.37	
8/2/2023	\$13,190,226.89	\$11,592,890.41	\$9,158,383.42	\$2,202,649.18	\$231,857.81	\$0.00	
9/12/2023	\$0.00	\$188,180.44	\$592,577.92	\$35,754.28	\$650,375.11	(\$1,090,526.87)	
11/9/2023	\$2,770,755.04	\$2,770,755.04	\$2,188,896.48	\$526,443.46	\$55,415.10	\$0.00	
2/29/2024	\$66,151,704.33	\$58,210,531.42	\$46,107,357.66	\$10,950,490.55	\$1,152,683.21	\$0.00	
3/15/2024	\$7,266,588.80	\$6,386,604.90	\$4,981,551.82	\$1,277,320.98	\$127,732.10	\$0.00	
6/17/2024	\$515,258.91	\$515,258.91	\$515,258.91	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Total	\$169,334,075.90	\$145,197,346.37	\$117,487,979.00	\$24,844,692.56	\$2,864,674.81	\$0.00	

GUARANTEED POLITICAL SUBDIVISION SUBFUND - SINCE PRIOR MEETING							
Subdivision	Type	Allocation %	Prior Balance	Disbursements	New Funds	Current Balance	
Abbeville	County	0.3350059823%	\$387,863.36	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$387,863.36	
Aiken	County	2.5661670597%	\$2,837,866.89	\$150,157.00	\$0.00	\$2,687,709.89	
Aiken	City/Town	0.7838026892%	\$882,471.38	\$112,000.00	\$0.00	\$770,471.38	
Allendale	County	0.1220441823%	\$141,300.33	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$141,300.33	
Anderson	County	3.3392231904%	\$3,447,263.11	\$628,442.00	\$0.00	\$2,818,821.11	
Anderson	City/Town	1.1735303052%	\$1,358,690.37	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,358,690.37	
Bamberg	County	0.2705913372%	\$313,285.35	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$313,285.35	
Barnwell	County	0.4653224769%	\$296,505.26	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$296,505.26	
Beaufort	County	2.3364418352%	\$1,210,371.53	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,210,371.53	
Beaufort	City/Town	0.0769982478%	\$64,147.05	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$64,147.05	
Berkeley	County	2.0961440294%	\$1,348,471.45	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,348,471.45	
Bluffton	City/Town	0.0481968917%	\$55,801.41	\$30,400.96	\$0.00	\$25,400.45	
Calhoun	County	0.1833260393%	\$97,069.45	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$97,069.45	
Cayce	City/Town	0.2572136960%	\$121,378.61	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$121,378.61	
Charleston	County	3.8016438488%	\$2,783,564.70	\$88,667.00	\$0.00	\$2,694,897.70	
Charleston	City/Town	2.3004340552%	\$2,109,036.75	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,109,036.75	
Cherokee	County	0.9440700745%	\$1,068,025.82	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,068,025.82	
Chester	County	0.3947965211%	\$208,063.43	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$208,063.43	
Chester	City/Town	0.1299573133%	\$68,489.37	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$68,489.37	
Chesterfield	County	0.9443488664%	\$674,607.07	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$674,607.07	
Clarendon	County	0.5705383575%	\$560,558.12	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$560,558.12	
Clemson	City/Town	0.3311616877%	\$383,412.51	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$383,412.51	
Colleton	County	0.8589365535%	\$969,459.90	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$969,459.90	
Columbia	City/Town	2.3918060702%	\$2,049,244.16	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,049,244.16	
Conway	City/Town	0.2894739402%	\$310,149.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$310,149.00	
Darlington	County	1.6906462867%	\$1,932,397.12	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,932,397.12	
Dillon	County	0.6608411417%	\$765,108.91	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$765,108.91	
Dorchester	County	1.6015765975%	\$1,719,273.97	\$220,360.00	\$0.00	\$1,498,913.97	
Easley	City/Town	0.8565835771%	\$991,735.68	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$991,735.68	
Edgefield	County	0.3586097074%	\$154,191.30	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$154,191.30	
Fairfield	County	0.3536421891%	\$285,080.39	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$285,080.39	
Florence	County	2.2059006272%	\$1,749,185.16	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,749,185.16	
Florence	City/Town	1.0065336904%	\$557,484.96	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$557,484.96	
Forest Acres	City/Town	0.0995929056%	\$115,306.72	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$115,306.72	

Fort Mill	City/Town	0.1714974802%	\$106,342.99	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$106,342.99
Fountain Inn	City/Town	0.1975697094%	\$228,742.34	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$228,742.34
Gaffney	City/Town	0.2044353782%	\$236,691.28	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$236,691.28
Georgetown	County	1.1895098900%	\$928,108.22	\$186,350.00	\$0.00	\$741,758.22
Georgetown	City/Town	0.2626233562%	\$279,060.17	\$79,892.04	\$0.00	\$199,168.13
Goose Creek	City/Town	0.5473575768%	\$493,719.88	\$125,000.00	\$0.00	\$368,719.88
Greenville	County	7.1502328364%	\$8,278,399.35	\$3,110,151.25	\$0.00	\$5,168,248.10
Greenville	City/Town	2.2705648395%	\$2,103,378.43	\$630,540.15	\$0.00	\$1,472,838.28
Greenwood	County	1.3388944490%	\$1,450,245.64	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,450,245.64
Greenwood	City/Town	0.0308220618%	\$30,685.17	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$30,685.17
Greer	City/Town	0.5590564672%	\$647,264.62	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$647,264.62
Hampton	County	0.3450376919%	\$338,811.16	\$73,600.00	\$0.00	\$265,211.16
Hanahan	City/Town	0.2279684840%	\$263,937.43	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$263,937.43
Hilton Head Island	City/Town	0.2323878458%	\$269,054.09	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$269,054.09
Horry	County	5.2166718879%	\$3,397,755.39	\$345,334.43	\$0.00	\$3,052,420.96
Irmo	City/Town	0.0942740906%	\$59,148.69	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$59,148.69
James Island	City/Town	0.0461551887%	\$53,437.58	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$53,437.58
Jasper	County	0.4278548317%	\$323,361.93	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$323,361.93
Kershaw	County	1.0780892823%	\$544,570.27	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$544,570.27
Kershaw Health	Hospital	0.0000000000%	\$226,252.97	\$0.00	\$103,051.78	\$329,304.75
Lancaster	County	1.4809282603%	\$1,526,751.70	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,526,751.70
Laurens	County	1.3598442946%	\$1,574,401.04	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,574,401.04
Lee	County	0.2176621820%	\$252,005.01	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$252,005.01
Lexington	County	4.4881391605%	\$4,708,301.18	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$4,708,301.18
Lexington	City/Town	0.2342104062%	\$149,239.76	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$149,239.76
Marion	County	0.6973242307%	\$807,348.33	\$439,848.44	\$0.00	\$367,499.89
Marlboro	County	0.4878238042%	\$257,090.15	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$257,090.15
Mauldin	City/Town	0.4253253929%	\$492,433.40	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$492,433.40
McCormick	County	0.1281623493%	\$108,383.84	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$108,383.84
Moncks Corner	City/Town	0.1965426445%	\$210,886.23	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$210,886.23
Mount Pleasant	City/Town	0.5750801889%	\$303,075.52	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$303,075.52
MUSC	Hospital	0.0000000000%	\$905,011.89	\$0.00	\$412,207.13	\$1,317,219.02
Myrtle Beach	City/Town	1.9068234068%	\$1,292,747.32	\$59,036.19	\$0.00	\$1,233,711.13
Newberry	County	0.5656551710%	\$654,904.48	\$45,000.00	\$0.00	\$609,904.48
Newberry	City/Town	0.0344864857%	\$39,927.76	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$39,927.76
North Augusta	City/Town	0.5139678525%	\$570,061.91	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$570,061.91
North Charleston	City/Town	1.7751090959%	\$1,532,136.48	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,532,136.48
North Myrtle Beach	City/Town	0.6366313248%	\$712,081.02	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$712,081.02
Oconee	County	2.8099515214%	\$3,075,132.76	\$602,029.00	\$0.00	\$2,473,103.76
Orangeburg	County	1.4543982912%	\$1,481,242.20	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,481,242.20
Orangeburg	City/Town	0.0468940344%	\$54,293.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$54,293.00
Pickens	County	2.8143347165%	\$2,028,279.22	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,028,279.22
Port Royal	City/Town	0.0206098617%	\$23,861.70	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$23,861.70
Richland	County	3.8816723839%	\$4,494,124.15	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$4,494,124.15
Rock Hill	City/Town	1.3120073555%	\$836,016.08	\$836,016.08	\$0.00	\$0.00
Saluda	County	0.2604801809%	\$255,779.58	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$255,779.58
Simpsonville	City/Town	0.3497064495%	\$404,883.27	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$404,883.27
Spartanburg	County	6.4084293395%	\$7,419,553.80	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$7,419,553.80
Spartanburg	City/Town	1.1890308958%	\$1,112,652.65	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,112,652.65
Summerville	City/Town	0.6446011912%	\$605,955.61	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$605,955.61
Sumter	County	0.9480995733%	\$1,072,691.08	\$311,301.75	\$0.00	\$761,389.33
Sumter	City/Town	0.5390642671%	\$624,118.04	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$624,118.04
Tega Cay	City/Town	0.0433365022%	\$27,614.89	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$27,614.89
Union	County	0.6499524020%	\$752,502.15	\$203,750.00	\$0.00	\$548,752.15
West Columbia	City/Town	0.3943858322%	\$251,304.15	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$251,304.15
Williamsburg	County	0.4931357629%	\$356,410.90	\$17,393.61	\$0.00	\$339,017.29
York	County	2.5800878865%	\$1,995,318.31	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,995,318.31
TOTAL			\$95,244,348.75	\$8,295,269.90	\$515,258.91	\$87,464,337.76

GUARANTEED POLITICAL SUBDIVISION SUBFUND - SINCE INCEPTION

Subdivision	Type	Allocation %	Allocated Funds	Disbursements	Current Balance
Abbeville	County	0.3350059823%	\$387,863.36	\$0.00	\$387,863.36
Aiken	County	2.5661670597%	\$2,971,057.89	\$283,348.00	\$2,687,709.89
Aiken	City/Town	0.7838026892%	\$907,471.38	\$137,000.00	\$770,471.38
Allendale	County	0.1220441823%	\$141,300.33	\$0.00	\$141,300.33
Anderson	County	3.3392231904%	\$3,866,087.11	\$1,047,266.00	\$2,818,821.11
Anderson	City/Town	1.1735303052%	\$1,358,690.37	\$0.00	\$1,358,690.37
Bamberg	County	0.2705913372%	\$313,285.35	\$0.00	\$313,285.35
Barnwell	County	0.4653224769%	\$538,741.25	\$242,235.99	\$296,505.26
Beaufort	County	2.3364418352%	\$2,705,086.53	\$1,494,715.00	\$1,210,371.53
Beaufort	City/Town	0.0769982478%	\$89,147.05	\$25,000.00	\$64,147.05
Berkeley	County	2.0961440294%	\$2,426,874.45	\$1,078,403.00	\$1,348,471.45
Bluffton	City/Town	0.0481968917%	\$55,801.41	\$30,400.96	\$25,400.45
Calhoun	County	0.1833260393%	\$212,251.30	\$115,181.85	\$97,069.45
Cayce	City/Town	0.2572136960%	\$297,796.96	\$176,418.35	\$121,378.61
Charleston	County	3.8016438488%	\$4,401,468.70	\$1,706,571.00	\$2,694,897.70
Charleston	City/Town	2.3004340552%	\$2,663,397.42	\$554,360.67	\$2,109,036.75
Cherokee	County	0.9440700745%	\$1,093,025.82	\$25,000.00	\$1,068,025.82
Chester	County	0.3947965211%	\$457,087.66	\$249,024.23	\$208,063.43
Chester	City/Town	0.1299573133%	\$150,462.04	\$81,972.67	\$68,489.37
Chesterfield	County	0.9443488664%	\$1,093,348.59	\$418,741.52	\$674,607.07
Clarendon	County	0.5705383575%	\$660,558.12	\$100,000.00	\$560,558.12
Clemson	City/Town	0.3311616877%	\$383,412.51	\$0.00	\$383,412.51
Colleton	County	0.8589365535%	\$994,459.90	\$25,000.00	\$969,459.90
Columbia	City/Town	2.3918060702%	\$2,769,186.16	\$719,942.00	\$2,049,244.16
Conway	City/Town	0.2894739402%	\$335,147.25	\$24,998.25	\$310,149.00
Darlington	County	1.6906462867%	\$1,957,397.12	\$25,000.00	\$1,932,397.12
Dillon	County	0.6608411417%	\$765,108.91	\$0.00	\$765,108.91
Dorchester	County	1.6015765975%	\$1,854,273.97	\$355,360.00	\$1,498,913.97
Easley	City/Town	0.8565835771%	\$991,735.68	\$0.00	\$991,735.68
Edgefield	County	0.3586097074%	\$415,191.30	\$261,000.00	\$154,191.30
Fairfield	County	0.3536421891%	\$409,440.00	\$124,359.61	\$285,080.39
Florence	County	2.2059006272%	\$2,553,948.49	\$804,763.33	\$1,749,185.16
Florence	City/Town	1.0065336904%	\$1,165,344.96	\$607,860.00	\$557,484.96
Forest Acres	City/Town	0.0995929056%	\$115,306.72	\$0.00	\$115,306.72
Fort Mill	City/Town	0.1714974802%	\$198,556.42	\$92,213.43	\$106,342.99
Fountain Inn	City/Town	0.1975697094%	\$228,742.34	\$0.00	\$228,742.34
Gaffney	City/Town	0.2044353782%	\$236,691.28	\$0.00	\$236,691.28
Georgetown	County	1.1895098900%	\$1,377,191.22	\$635,433.00	\$741,758.22
Georgetown	City/Town	0.2626233562%	\$304,060.17	\$104,892.04	\$199,168.13
Goose Creek	City/Town	0.5473575768%	\$633,719.88	\$265,000.00	\$368,719.88
Greenville	County	7.1502328364%	\$8,278,399.35	\$3,110,151.25	\$5,168,248.10
Greenville	City/Town	2.2705648395%	\$2,628,815.43	\$1,155,977.15	\$1,472,838.28
Greenwood	County	1.3388944490%	\$1,550,145.73	\$99,900.09	\$1,450,245.64
Greenwood	City/Town	0.0308220618%	\$35,685.17	\$5,000.00	\$30,685.17
Greer	City/Town	0.5590564672%	\$647,264.62	\$0.00	\$647,264.62
Hampton	County	0.3450376919%	\$399,477.87	\$134,266.71	\$265,211.16
Hanahan	City/Town	0.2279684840%	\$263,937.43	\$0.00	\$263,937.43
Hilton Head Island	City/Town	0.2323878458%	\$269,054.09	\$0.00	\$269,054.09
Horry	County	5.2166718879%	\$6,039,760.40	\$2,987,339.44	\$3,052,420.96
Irmo	City/Town	0.0942740906%	\$109,148.69	\$50,000.00	\$59,148.69
James Island	City/Town	0.0461551887%	\$53,437.58	\$0.00	\$53,437.58
Jasper	County	0.4278548317%	\$495,361.93	\$172,000.00	\$323,361.93
Kershaw	County	1.0780892823%	\$1,248,190.63	\$703,620.36	\$544,570.27
Kershaw Health	Hospital	0.0000000000%	\$392,721.23	\$63,416.48	\$329,304.75
Lancaster	County	1.4809282603%	\$1,714,589.70	\$187,838.00	\$1,526,751.70
Laurens	County	1.3598442946%	\$1,574,401.04	\$0.00	\$1,574,401.04

Lee	County	0.2176621820%	\$252,005.01	\$0.00	\$252,005.01
Lexington	County	4.4881391605%	\$5,196,279.49	\$487,978.31	\$4,708,301.18
Lexington	City/Town	0.2342104062%	\$271,164.21	\$121,924.45	\$149,239.76
Marion	County	0.6973242307%	\$807,348.33	\$439,848.44	\$367,499.89
Marlboro	County	0.4878238042%	\$564,792.82	\$307,702.67	\$257,090.15
Mauldin	City/Town	0.4253253929%	\$492,433.40	\$0.00	\$492,433.40
McCormick	County	0.1281623493%	\$148,383.84	\$40,000.00	\$108,383.84
Moncks Corner	City/Town	0.1965426445%	\$227,553.23	\$16,667.00	\$210,886.23
Mount Pleasant	City/Town	0.5750801889%	\$665,816.56	\$362,741.04	\$303,075.52
MUSC	Hospital	0.0000000000%	\$1,317,219.02	\$0.00	\$1,317,219.02
Myrtle Beach	City/Town	1.9068234068%	\$2,207,682.75	\$973,971.62	\$1,233,711.13
Newberry	County	0.5656551710%	\$654,904.48	\$45,000.00	\$609,904.48
Newberry	City/Town	0.0344864857%	\$39,927.76	\$0.00	\$39,927.76
North Augusta	City/Town	0.5139678525%	\$595,061.91	\$25,000.00	\$570,061.91
North Charleston	City/Town	1.7751090959%	\$2,055,186.48	\$523,050.00	\$1,532,136.48
North Myrtle Beach	City/Town	0.6366313248%	\$737,079.27	\$24,998.25	\$712,081.02
Oconee	County	2.8099515214%	\$3,253,306.76	\$780,203.00	\$2,473,103.76
Orangeburg	County	1.4543982912%	\$1,683,873.82	\$202,631.62	\$1,481,242.20
Orangeburg	City/Town	0.0468940344%	\$54,293.00	\$0.00	\$54,293.00
Pickens	County	2.8143347165%	\$3,258,381.53	\$1,230,102.31	\$2,028,279.22
Port Royal	City/Town	0.0206098617%	\$23,861.70	\$0.00	\$23,861.70
Richland	County	3.8816723839%	\$4,494,124.15	\$0.00	\$4,494,124.15
Rock Hill	City/Town	1.3120073555%	\$1,519,016.38	\$1,519,016.38	\$0.00
Saluda	County	0.2604801809%	\$301,578.86	\$45,799.28	\$255,779.58
Simpsonville	City/Town	0.3497064495%	\$404,883.27	\$0.00	\$404,883.27
Spartanburg	County	6.4084293395%	\$7,419,553.80	\$0.00	\$7,419,553.80
Spartanburg	City/Town	1.1890308958%	\$1,376,636.65	\$263,984.00	\$1,112,652.65
Summerville	City/Town	0.6446011912%	\$746,306.61	\$140,351.00	\$605,955.61
Sumter	County	0.9480995733%	\$1,097,691.08	\$336,301.75	\$761,389.33
Sumter	City/Town	0.5390642671%	\$624,118.04	\$0.00	\$624,118.04
Tega Cay	City/Town	0.0433365022%	\$50,174.16	\$22,559.27	\$27,614.89
Union	County	0.6499524020%	\$752,502.15	\$203,750.00	\$548,752.15
West Columbia	City/Town	0.3943858322%	\$456,612.17	\$205,308.02	\$251,304.15
Williamsburg	County	0.4931357629%	\$570,942.90	\$231,925.61	\$339,017.29
York	County	2.5800878865%	\$2,987,175.15	\$991,856.84	\$1,995,318.31
TOTAL			\$117,487,979.00	\$30,023,641.24	\$87,464,337.76

South Carolina Opioid Recovery Fund Board
Statement of Financial Activities

<i>January - June 2024</i>		Opioid Recovery Fund	Administrative Subfund	Guaranteed Political Subdivision Subfund	Discretionary Subfund
Transfers In/Source of Funds:					
	Opioid Recovery Settlement Fund (STO)	65,112,395.23	-	-	-
	Opioid Recovery Fund*	-	1,335,830.41	53,277,805.96	13,954,395.88
	Guaranteed Political Subdivision Subfund	-	-	-	-
	Attorney Fee Funds	-	-	-	-
	<i>Interest Earned</i>	1,034,429.74	-	-	-
	Total Transfers In:	66,146,824.97	1,335,830.41	53,277,805.96	13,954,395.88
Transfers Out:					
	Administrative Subfund*	1,335,830.41	-	-	-
	Guaranteed Political Subdivision Subfund*	53,277,805.96	-	-	-
	Discretionary Subfund*	13,954,395.88	-	-	-
	Administrative support	-	233,600.00	-	-
	Total Transfer Out:	68,568,032.25	233,600.00	-	-
Expenses:					
	Per Diem	-	350.00	-	-
	Contractual Services	-	60,138.27	-	-
	Supplies	-	610.74	-	-
	Travel	-	1,864.52	-	-
	Distributions to applicants	-	-	20,557,447.39	1,332,948.00
	Total Expenses:	-	62,963.53	20,557,447.39	1,332,948.00
Income/(Disbursements)-Current Year Net Activity		(2,421,207.28)	1,039,266.88	32,720,358.57	12,621,447.88
<i>Cash Recapitulation (YTD)</i>					
	<i>Beginning Cash Balance</i>	3,447,694.28	1,478,263.26	51,071,976.34	7,507,876.95
	<i>Transfers In*</i>	66,146,824.97	1,335,830.41	53,277,805.96	13,954,395.88
	<i>Transfers Out*</i>	(68,568,032.25)	(233,600.00)	-	-
	<i>Expenses</i>	-	(62,963.53)	(20,557,447.39)	(1,332,948.00)
	<i>Ending Cash Balance</i>	1,026,487.00	2,517,530.14	83,792,334.91	20,129,324.83