

South Carolina Opioid Recovery Fund Board Meeting

May 22, 2023

Edgar A. Brown Building, 1205 Pendleton Street, Room 252, Columbia, SC

Call to Order

Board Chairman Eric Bedingfield called the Board of Directors Meeting to order at 10:10 AM. Those in attendance and constituting a quorum were:

Board Members Present: Toby Chappell, Steve Donaldson, Gary Mixon, Hon. H. Bruce Williams, Eric Bedingfield, Lisa Montgomery, Aditi Bussells, Martine Helou-Allen, Mayes DuBose

Approval of Minutes from Last Meeting

Motion to approve minutes from the April 11, 2023, Zoom SCORF Board meeting was made by Dr. DuBose and seconded by Dr. Bussells, all ayes, minutes approved m/c unanimous.

Attorney General's Office Report

Jared Libet, Assistant Attorney General, presented the settlement report and explained more funds are expected over the summer. In addition, the new settlement agreement will allocate GPS funds to MUSC and they should be treated as a political subdivision for their request.

Attorney Libet discussed that the Teva settlement offers the option for two years of generic Naloxone in lieu of funds, and his recommendation was to accept the funds as it is a simpler process. Motion to accept funding over Naloxone based on Attorney Libet's information was made by Dr. Bussells and seconded by Mr. Donaldson, all ayes, motion approved m/c unanimous.

Mr. Donaldson asked the status of the five new settlements, and Attorney Libet said everyone signed on, adding that we need 100% participation by political subdivisions in the state or there are significantly reduced payments which harms the statewide effort.

Fund Financial Report

Alana Williams, SFAA Director of Strategic Initiatives, presented the Statement of Activities (attached) for Denise Carraway, SFAA Director of Budget and Finance (absent) and reported the financial statement was submitted to the State Auditor.

SFAA Administrative Report

Alana Williams, SFAA Director of Strategic Initiatives, presented an overview of SCORF Board's first year in operation with kudos for a job very well done. An annual report is required that will reflect the settlement background through December 2022.

Chairman's Report

Chairman Bedingfield reported that he is arranging a SCORF Board half-day strategic planning session for calendaring board meetings and discussing procedures. Staff will email the Board to set a date.

New Business

Chairman Bedingfield led a discussion regarding revised GPS applications following feedback from Staff to Staff to these applicants.

The revised GPS applications were reviewed by the Board as follows:

1. Horry County. Staff consulted with Horry County and the County said the abatement strategies can be reviewed as stand-alone project requests. The Board discussed their revisions and costs in the revised application relating to drug screening. Attorney Libet noted there are overlapping counties within the 15th Circuit and it is unclear the money for this county could be used for Georgetown county. Mr. Chappell also noted that it appears Georgetown County requested funding for some overlapping services. Mr. Chappell suggested the Board request something from Horry County that says funds requested for Horry are separate from Georgetown requested funds for the same needs, and Staff said if approved we can address this and require that they keep date separate for reporting purposes. Board discussion about how to evaluate costs in applications and discussion about applicants seeking both GPS and DSF funds that appear to be overlapping requests. Board also discussed applicants applying for DSF funds, which will be reviewed later, that could have and should have partnered with their political subdivisions to apply for GPS funds since the DSF is so limited at this time. Dr. Bussells noted opportunities for alignment of work among applicants and asked the Board's role in making sure some of those connections happen. Chairman Bedingfield hopes the Board can discuss this further in its strategic planning session later this summer. Dr. Bussells suggests approving with contingency that Staff get a letter of support from Georgetown since they cover Georgetown as well. Motion to approve request for \$130,000 by Mr. Mixon second by Dr. Bussells, m/c unanimous.
2. Edgefield County. Strategy and plan are related to the budget. The Board discussed whether it is acceptable for groups to apply for Naloxone distribution if they already have free available alternatives. Chair Bedingfield told the Board they could approve this application, and Staff will remind them about the LEON and ROLL programs. Those programs will also help them with the related DHEC reporting requirements for Naloxone. Motion to approve request for \$164,000 by Chair Bedingfield and seconded by Ms. Montgomery, m/c unanimous.
3. Georgetown County and Georgetown County First Responders. This are two applications from Georgetown County and the Board will vote on these separately.
 - a. The first request includes abatement strategies for warm hand offs. Motion to approve Georgetown County request for \$409,083 by Dr. Bussells and seconded by Mr. Donaldson, m/c unanimous.
 - b. The second application is the Georgetown County First Responders application for \$60,000 which includes a D.A.R.E. program request for \$20,000. Mr. Donaldson stated that there is a lot of research now that the D.A.R.E. program is not effective and actually promotes use, so he will not approve any request to fund a D.A.R.E. program. Board discussion about severability of abatement strategies in applications, and Attorney Libet said the Board should treat the application as a whole unless applicants have indicated severability is ok. Staff will ask this group about severability of the strategies. Motion to pass over Georgetown County First Responders by Dr. Bussells and seconded by Ms. Helou-Allen, m/c unanimous.
4. Keystone Substance Abuse Services for York County. The Board agreed previous issues requiring clarification were remedied in the revised application. Motion to approve request for \$94,000 by Mr. Chappell and seconded by Dr. Bussells, m/c unanimous.

5. Oconee County. Application includes request to fund nine abatement strategies totaling \$494,063.50. Staff provided technical assistance and the County approves severability of their abatement strategies. Chair Bedingfield instructed the Board to vote on each strategy individually.
- a. First strategy: Naloxone or other FDA approved reversal drugs, expanded training to first responders, schools, community support, and families. Motion to approve request for \$7,479.00 by Chair Bedingfield and seconded by Mr. Mixon, m/c unanimous.
 - b. Second strategy: Naloxone or other FDA approved reversal drugs, expanded training to first responders, schools, community support and families, public safety, and training. Motion to approve request for \$7,495.50 by Mr. Mixon and seconded by Dr. Bussells, m/c unanimous.
 - c. Third strategy: Naloxone for uninsured or underinsured individuals for \$57,260.00. The plan and goals do not meet the strategy criteria related to distribution of Naloxone. Motion to deny by Mr. Mixon and seconded by Mr. Chappell, m/c unanimous.
 - d. Fourth strategy: Treatment of OUD and SUD/MH conditions for \$75,000.00. No specific plans for the funds for the Board to approve. Appears to be planning for subgrants. The County must first determine partner strategies, plans and budgets, then submit these specifics to the Board. Motion to deny by Mr. Chappell and seconded by Ms. Bussells, m/c unanimous.
 - e. Fifth strategy: Treatment and recovery services including MAT for pregnant and postpartum women with OUD and SUD/MH for \$20,000.00. Plan does not meet strategy criteria and the County must first determine partner strategies, plans and budgets, then submit these specifics to the Board. Motion to deny by Dr. Bussells and seconded by Ms. Helou-Allen, m/c unanimous.
 - f. Sixth strategy: OUD treatment for incarcerated people for \$100,000.00. The clinical treatment meets the criteria but the requested body scanner does not. Body scanners not approved strategy. Also not enough money for requested mental health treatment. Motion to deny by Mr. Mixon and seconded by Mr. Chappell, m/c unanimous.
 - g. Seventh strategy: Preventative Programs: Expand training to first responders, schools, community support and families. Includes DARE program request for \$37,000.00. Motion to deny by Mr. Donaldson and seconded by Ms. Helou-Allen, m/c unanimous. Dr. Bussells then suggested SCORF include a list of evidence-based practices on the website to assist applicants with knowing what some options are for programs. Attorney Libet noted the math does not add up for total of programs and the Board said to just add up their approved programs and Staff will go over this with them.
 - h. Eighth strategy: Support People in Treatment and Recovery: Provide comprehensive wrap-around services to individuals with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, including housing, transportation, education, job placement, job training, or childcare. Motion to approve request for \$90,000 by Chair Bedingfield and seconded by Mr. Donaldson, m/c unanimous.
 - i. Ninth strategy: Infrastructure to support collaborative efforts in implementing strategies to abate the opioid epidemic. Board discussion about whether it is possible to differentiate opioid use- related secondary trauma from other kinds of trauma. Motion to approve \$51,280.40 by Mr. Donaldson, seconded by Dr. Bussells, m/c unanimous.
 - j. Tenth strategy: Wellness and support services for first responders who experience secondary trauma with opioid-related emergency events. Motion to approve request for \$15,000 by Dr. Bussells, seconded by Mr. Donaldson, m/c unanimous.

- k. Eleventh Strategy: Support efforts to abate the opioid epidemic through activities and programs. Motion to approve request for \$6,920 by Mr. Donaldson, seconded by Ms. Montgomery, m/c unanimous.
6. City of Cayce. This revised application addresses concerns related to their prior application. Motion to approve request for \$86,931 by Mr. Chappell and seconded by Mr. Mixon, m/c unanimous.
 7. City of Columbia. Dr. Bussells recused herself from discussion and voting for this application. Application includes request for Rainy Day Fund, which is a non-profit rapid shelter for re-entry purposes. The Board said this is a well-done application for programming that is needed. Staff said they pushed them on opioid specificity, and they will assess what percentage this is in reporting. Motion to approve request for \$299,400.00 by Chair Bedingfield and seconded by Mr. Donaldson, and with eight ayes, and one nay from Mr. Chappell, the motion was approved.
 8. Berkeley County. This county has four applications totaling \$650,522.
 - a. Fairhaven Home for Men: Needed to be more opioid-centric in funding request. Board discussion about culinarian salary request, and no food cost break out. The Board decided to go back to this group to clarify their strategy. Motion to pass over this one until Staff gets clarity from this group by Chair Bedingfield, m/c unanimous.
 - b. Remnant House Outreach Ministry: Expansion of warm handoff programs and recovery services. Motion to approve request for \$220,200 by Chair Bedingfield and seconded by Mr. Chappell, m/c unanimous.
 - c. Changed Lives Ministries: The vehicle request was amended to 75% of vehicle cost for transportation to approved services and is calculated from 75% of their participants with OUD. Motion to approve request for \$187,695 by Mr. Chappell and seconded by Mr. Mixon, m/c unanimous.
 - d. Coroner's Office: This is for data gathering. Staff spoke with the coroner about how their budgets work in relation to autopsies, and how they cannot currently fund these for overdose deaths. Board discussion about different toxicology screening methods and costs. Motion to approve request for \$100,000 by Ms. Montgomery and seconded by Mr. Mixon, m/c unanimous.

The Board took a 45-minute recess at 12:00 PM. The meeting resumed at 12:45 PM.

GPS Planning Program Discussion

Staff presented the idea of the Opioid Remediation Planning Program to allow political subdivisions to bring together stakeholders and develop a community action plan based on the approved opioid abatement strategies and community needs. The Board will offer the option to political subdivisions to submit a Letter of Intent to SCORF seeking \$25,000 to spend on six months of planning to create long-term opioid remediation plans. The Letter of Intent would be reviewed and approved by SCORF staff.

The Board discussed reasons for requiring a deadline to apply for the Program, how the Program works relative to the regular GPS application process, and Mr. Chappell suggested that the Program be offered on an ongoing basis. Additional discussion that political subdivisions can only submit one Letter of Intent to plan every 3 years which will help SCORF Board get plans from groups for a longer timeframe. Motion

to approve opioid remediation planning program with the caveat groups can file a letter every 3 years, while still participating in the GPS application process and the program will be offered on a rolling basis, by Mr. Chappell and seconded by Ms. Helou-Allen, m/c unanimous.

Discretionary Sub Fund Applications Board Discussion

Chairman Bedingfield began the discussion about DSF applications and restated SC Code §11-58-40 (C) which includes Board guidelines about this. The Board has three options: to approve, deny, or defer. The Board does not have to qualify why an application was denied.

Chairman Bedingfield asked that Attorney Libet share some details about the future deposits for the DSF. Attorney Libet informed the Board there is \$9 million in the DSF currently. An additional \$14 million is expected for the DSF in August 2023. The amount will then go down between \$5-6 million, varying by year, for a few years. The Board discussed whether to allot the entire amount that is available now or to defer some funds and spread them out for future years to create consistency. The amount requested in total for the current DSF applications is \$35 million with \$9 million in the DSF account. Ms. Helou-Allen said that while the goal to make the money last is good, the Board should not be put in a box if it sees innovative programming that should be funded. Dr. Dubose noted that if the DSF is capped that it will force folks to meet around the state and cooperate for the best use of funds. Chairman Bedingfield said there are some applications from groups for DSF that can partner to get GPS funds especially in areas where they have not asked for GPS funds yet. He also noted some duplicate applications for DSF that are requesting funds through political subdivisions for GPS. Motion to approve spending \$7.5 million and reserve the remainder to carry over or for an incredible project by Dr. Bussells and seconded by Mr. Mixon, m/c unanimous.

Chairman Bedingfield referred the group to the provided scoring chart which also shows funds already spent by region. Board discussion about scoring thresholds and which applications to begin reviewing for funding purposes. Board discussion about whether applications should be limited for one year or is multiyear allowed. The requirements currently do not indicate a one-year limit for DSF and Board may consider changing in the future. Board discussion about scores and administrative fees, with some high scoring applications' administrative fees going over the allotted 5%. Chairman Bedingfield suggested starting review discussion with applications scoring 40 and above. Attorney Libet agreed and suggested the Board begin by looking at applications with 50 scores and grouping them first before voting on them. The groups are Board "likely to approve", Board "likely no," and "maybe" for groups that have overlapping applications and/or are already funded elsewhere. The Board decided to begin at scores of 50 and work down in scores and applications, then vote on them once per grouping as a group of applications.

Discretionary Sub Fund Applications Board Review

The Board began reviewing scored DSF applications.

Score 50:

1. Clemson University Dickes — Neonatal Opioid dependency support after the baby is born. Focus on Anderson County not the same as GPS prior funding for Pickens county. The Board decided to group this as likely to approve.
2. Prisma Health Upstate PeeDee — Dr. DuBose thought this group and the ER Trust needed to communicate about initiatives. The Board decided to group this as maybe.

3. DAODAS, MUSC, Clemson *et al.* SC Center for Excellence — Board discussion regarding whether this applicant has received funding from the legislature since submitting the DSF application. The Board decided to group this as likely to approve.

Score 49:

4. Bon Secours St. Francis Hospital — Dr. Bussells and Mr. DuBose raised a sustainability concern with this application because majority of funding to hire peer support specialists, the administrative costs are 10% in their technical proposal, and this application has overlapping plans with another project in the same region from Prisma. The Board decided to group this as maybe with reduction to 5%.

Score 48:

5. Safety Blitz Foundation — Friday Night Lights campaign for high school kids. Dr. Bussells and Dr. DuBose like it a lot for prevention, good success in other states, and there is a good list of respected sports names on their board and they have relationships in SC. The Board decided to group this as likely to approve.

6. Aiken Regional Medical Center — Dr. DuBose said this is a physician champion approach which will grow. Board discussion about the approach being innovative and effective. Attorney Libet said their cover application and budget breakdown do not match, but their administrative costs are within 5%. The Board decided to group this as likely to approve.

Score 47:

7. Christ Central Ministries — Ms. Helou-Allen said goals and objectives were explanatory but not in correct smart goal format, however it is a good program, and they are extremely collaborative with Oconee Co., strong partnerships and are the go-to in the area. Mr. Mixon agreed this is a very good application. The Board decided to group this as likely to approve.

8. Clemson University Zhang — Dr. Bussells said this is for research for opioid misuse among Medicaid and cancer patients. She stated because the Board believes in transparency, if they and other similar research applications are going to publish this research, the requirement should be the groups must publish as “open access” and not behind a subscription model so it is accessible to the public. The Board agreed to the requirement that funded research be open access. The Board decided to group this as likely to approve. Later moved to the research “maybe” group.

9. Center for Behavioral Health- BHG — This is for the Sonora program for methadone at home dosing with patients observed through their phones. Staff asked if this follows the rules since it is taken home prior to actual timelines for allowed take home methadone. Mr. Donaldson says it does follow the rules of at home dosing with a technology device, and is innovative, efficient, and removes a big treatment barrier of daily travel. Staff needs to check whether this also follows the SC state guidelines. The Board decided to group this as likely to approve.

10. Crossroads Treatment Center — Dr. Bussells said this serves 5 counties. The Board would like more emphasis on how the community will spread these services. Also could be redundant to other efforts in the Upstate area. The Board decided to group this as maybe.

11. DHEC — Naloxone in DHEC clinics. Mr. Donaldson raised issues about location of agencies and application duplication efforts with multiple agencies near or in the same building all distributing Narcan. The Board decided to group this as maybe.

12. 14th Circuit Solicitor's Office- They are working with 6 counties. Dr. Bussells said this application is well written but is a 3-year project that is broken out by year. Dr. DuBose said it has a sustainability issue since it is a peer recovery model and includes a request for an issued gun. Board discussion about suggesting they apply for GPS funds, and Mr. Donaldson stated this was previously suggested to this group and they declined. The Board decided to group this as likely to approve for year 1, with a strong suggestion they apply for their GPS funds as will give their program longevity.

Score 46:

13. William G Rusher Value Based Provider Network — Dr. DuBose said he likes year 1 which is addiction treatment, with \$42,000 of their request for detox devices and training in using them. They are partners with the 14th Circuit solicitor's office. They have a medical director who is a professor of rural medicine at MUSC with a great program in the area which uses new FDA cleared sub-Q treatments. They need mobile MAT to treat drug court participants, and there is an electronic medical record component which is severable, and the Board does not like. The Board decided to group this as likely no.

Score 44:

14. Wake Up Carolina — Ms. Helou-Allen said the budget/cost is very high and concern not sustainable. Also said the Board knows they received money from Berkeley through their GPS application. This DPS application states intent to apply for GPS but does not say state they have done it. This group will be encouraged to apply for Dorchester. The Board decided to group this as likely no.

The Board recessed for 10 minutes at 3 p.m.

15. DHEC PHL— This is an opioid bio surveillance program for \$680,000. They had a CDC grant that they no longer receive for unknown reasons and this application would substitute that CDC grant. Not enough activity for a month-to-month plan. Chairman Bedingfield said the abatement strategy would be for expansion of programs, data collection, and expansion of testing. The Board confirmed the review score is actually a 28, not in the 40's and should be discussed at this time.

16. DHEC PHP Cope had a Score of 45 and should be considered rather than the PHL application — The only issue was how much money was going toward equipment as opposed to the program. Board decided this \$3.2 million had too high of an expense and decided to put this one on hold.

Score 44:

17. Oxford House — Dr. DuBose and Dr. Bussells like this one. These are peer-run recovery houses that are all over the country in 46 states. There are 105 Oxford houses in SC. An issue is housing is expensive, and they must find housing to do this program. Mr. Donaldson said this is a good resource for addicts since some houses will not allow MAT and they do. This is friendly to mothers with children, so they do not have to choose between their child and treatment and is a good model. Mr. Chappell stated some of these types of houses cause problems in cities. Mr. Donaldson agrees that this happens sometimes especially with independent houses, but more success than failures. Ms. Helou-Allen said data would be good about their success rate. Staff said there is potential for issues but there is a more oversight with

Oxford houses, and there is a bill that will require these houses to get SCAR certified. The Board decided to group this as likely to approve.

Score 43:

18. Clemson Shi — Mr. Chappell recused himself from discussion because the applicant claims the Clemson Board of Trustees as the governing board and he has a relative on that board. Ms. Montgomery likes this one because they are trying to relieve chronic pain in cancer patients with non-medication approaches and lifestyle interventions such as mindfulness, exercise, and diet. Prisma Health Upstate is a good partner and innovative idea. Board discussion about multiple research projects relating to cancer patients. The Board discussed grouping all research projects of this type in the “maybe” group and come back and decide about the research project funding. The Board decided to group this as maybe.

19. Kandy Velazquez- USC — This is a research project related to far east medicinal alternative approaches to pain relief and replicating those results here. The Board discussed whether general pain relief was opioid specific, and determined yes since opioids are for pain relief, finding alternative approaches to using opioids was ok. Board discussion about mice used and ethics laws, and related time and expense. This application is for 18 months of research. The Board discussed this, and SCORF can fund the extra 6 months if they report after year one and then request an additional 6 months of funding, but Staff said the entire project would have to be funded. Board discussion about how to fund a project over one year and a carryover form was suggested for the process of approving the additional funding time. Staff said they would prepare a form for this purpose. The Board decided to group this as likely to approve.

20. Prisma Health Midlands — Mr. Donaldson likes this application overall but has an issue with paying peers more than counselors and with the required education levels related to their pay structure. Their application needs more definition for the other expenses category. Board discussion of whether this structure creates an issue of the focus being too much on lack of patient insurance. Board discussion about Emergency Department funding shortage creating a lack of warm handoffs and a ripple effect of lack of needed services, resulting in more Narcan treatments than would otherwise be necessary if needed services were available. The board decided to group this one as maybe, and they need to total Prisma’s requests before considering whether to deny or approve.

21. A Second Chance Resource Center Network United — This is for re-entry, recovery, re-engagement, and resources in the low country. They partner with SCDC, PPP, and Vocational Rehabilitation among others and have the infrastructure needed to be successful. The Board decided to group this as likely to approve.

Score 42:

22. Lutheran Family Services — Dr. Bussells said they have too many goals for one year, but are established with DOC. Dr. Dubose noted they work with Rapid Shelter Columbia who has WECO College in West Columbia, which is the only collegiate recovery center in the state. The Board decided to group this as likely to approve.

Score 41:

23. Carolina Health Centers Upstate — They are community distributors of Naloxone. They distribute at no cost to the community, and do not have a funding source. They want to establish units, a needle exchange program, and pop-up needle exchange events full time 5 days per week around Greenwood.

They will need funds for a pharmacist, two behavioral health providers, and Narcan. The largest use of their funds would be the two behavioral health providers. The Board decided to group this as likely no.

Score 40:

24. Clemson CJSR — Ms. Helou-Allen said their application is great, but it provides little information about the specific issue they want to address, what is lacking in that area, and how their work will provide a solution. Their strategy for jails is too evaluation-centered rather than evidence-based prevention (EBP) centered. The budget is top-heavy and the Board is unsure about the number of personnel salaries. Board discussion about programs not in place and what they would be evaluating vs. medical staff already in place, and issue of how their three primary goals relate to a responsive effort. The Board decided to group this as likely no.

25. Horry County — They already have GPS funds. The Board decided to group this as likely no.

Attorney Libet then gave the Board the financial totals for the “yes” and “maybe” application groups, and the Board was clear about these totals. The Board discussed whether the DHEC Cope program should be put on hold for approval due to their funding request amount being a large percentage of the funds available for allotment and decided to put it on hold. Chairman Bedingfield asked Attorney Libet if the Board could approve the applications they just categorized as a package, or if they needed to approve them individually, and it was clarified by Attorney Libet the Board could approve these applications as a package if they state what applications are in the package.

Mr. Mixon asked the Board if there was another project with a score lower than 40 that they could consider approving today. He brought up the USC Daping Fan project and asked if it was like one already approved. Ms. Helou-Allen stated the difference is that one is not opioid pain treatment for cancer patients; the technique was different, however there is no plan for prevention.

Chairman Bedingfield told the Board they still have \$600,000 available and can continue going down the scored applications from score 39 to find one to approve. Mr. Chappell suggested to Chairman Bedingfield the Board could give the Board scoring teams discretion to allot the remaining \$600K, as \$300K each for projects they choose.

Ms. Montgomery suggested the York County application for the All on Board Coalition. The Board also likes this one although they have a 10% admin fee, so Staff will need to tell them to bring it down to 5%. The Board decided to group this as likely to approve.

Mr. Chappell also suggested the Greenville County Sheriff’s Office. Chairman Bedingfield asked about GPS funds for them since they have \$3.8 million available in GPS they can apply for. Mr. Donaldson said there are issues with mental health services and excessive costs, but otherwise it is a good coalition. Board discussion about Pee Dee area, lack of DSF funding for that area and number of approved applications. Board discussion about the “maybe” applications group and whether to defer some or fund, since they are under budget. Dr. DuBose said the Board needs to go over those and come back with a cohesive plan since they are all so close together. Mr. Chappell said the Board could approve the “yes” applications group today and carry over the “maybe” and “no” applications groups to the next meeting, to be sure they make the best decision about them. Chairman Bedingfield said this thought process will work for him, and Mr. Donaldson agreed.

Attorney Libet confirmed with the Board the DSF “yes” group applications to be the following:

- Clemson University-Dickes in the amount of \$367,161
- Christ Central Ministries Oconee/OARS in the amount of \$77,700
- Aiken Regional Medical Center in the amount of \$384,510
- Kandy Velasquez from USC in the amount of \$184,826
- York County All On Board Coalition in the amount of \$41,034
- A Second Chance Resource Center Network United in the amount of \$543,062.24
- 14th Circuit Solicitor's Office in the amount of \$222,554
- DAODAS SC Center for Excellence in the amount of \$1,052,140
- Safety Blitz Foundation, Inc. in the amount of \$305,937.50
- Oxford House, Inc. in the amount of \$300,000
- Lutheran Family Services in the Carolinas in the amount of \$629,608.07
- BHG Center for Behavioral Health Sonora in the amount of \$139,554

Motion to approve all “yes” group applications totaling \$4.2 million by Mr. Chappell and seconded by Ms. Helou-Allen, m/c unanimous.

Clemson University- Zhang in the amount of \$107,333 and Clemson University- Shi in the amount of \$388,342 were research applications that were subsequently decided by the Board to be “maybes” and will be considered by the Board at the following DSF meeting.

Staff then asked the Board if they would meet prior to the August summer strategic planning meeting to go over the rest of these DSF applications. Board discussion with Staff about scheduling this. A Doodle poll will be sent to the Board to schedule the next meeting to complete approval of DSF applications.

Chairman Bedingfield adjourned the meeting at 4:37 pm.

SETTLEMENT REPORT FOR MAY 22, 2023 MEETING

Pursuant to the terms of the agreement between the State of South Carolina and its participating political subdivisions, money shall be allocated as follows:

SOUTH CAROLINA OPIOID FUNDS OVERVIEW						
Date	Payment	Opioid Recovery	GPS Subfund	Disc Subfund	Adm Subfund*	Other
7/15/2022	\$12,550,750.48	\$10,291,615.39	\$8,572,915.62	\$1,512,867.46	\$102,916.15	\$102,916.16
9/15/2022	\$13,190,226.89	\$10,815,986.05	\$9,009,716.38	\$1,589,949.95	\$108,159.86	\$108,159.86
10/18/2022	\$50,788,528.57	\$41,703,668.26	\$34,538,442.49	\$6,337,494.05	\$413,865.86	\$413,865.86
1/31/2023	\$2,355,141.78	\$2,166,961.34	\$1,711,899.46	\$411,722.65	\$21,669.61	\$21,669.62
Total	\$78,884,647.72	\$64,978,231.04	\$53,832,973.95	\$9,852,034.11	\$646,611.48	\$646,611.50

*half of the funds which will ultimately go to the Administrative Subfund are being retained in the Opioid Recovery Fund pending appropriate amendments to the South Carolina Opioid Settlement Allocation Agreement

GUARANTEED POLITICAL SUBDIVISION SUBFUND - SINCE PRIOR MEETING						
Subdivision	Type	Allocation %	Prior Balance	Disbursements	New Funds	Current Balance
Abbeville	County	0.3350059823%	\$180,131.24	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$180,131.24
Aiken	County	2.5661670597%	\$1,379,816.67	\$108,191.00	\$0.00	\$1,271,625.67
Aiken	City/Town	0.7838026892%	\$421,447.23	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$421,447.23
Allendale	County	0.1220441823%	\$65,622.60	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$65,622.60
Anderson	County	3.3392231904%	\$1,795,485.53	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,795,485.53
Anderson	City/Town	1.1735303052%	\$631,002.05	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$631,002.05
Bamberg	County	0.2705913372%	\$145,495.76	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$145,495.76
Barnwell	County	0.4653224769%	\$250,201.84	\$242,235.99	\$0.00	\$7,965.85
Beaufort	County	2.3364418352%	\$956,918.44	\$612,733.00	\$0.00	\$344,185.44
Beaufort	City/Town	0.0769982478%	\$41,401.61	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$41,401.61
Berkeley	County	2.0961440294%	\$1,127,087.37	\$427,881.00	\$0.00	\$699,206.37
Bluffton	City/Town	0.0481968917%	\$25,915.25	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$25,915.25
Calhoun	County	0.1833260393%	\$98,573.61	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$98,573.61
Cayce	City/Town	0.2572136960%	\$138,302.66	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$138,302.66
Charleston	County	3.8016438488%	\$2,044,127.08	\$985,136.00	\$0.00	\$1,058,991.08
Charleston	City/Town	2.3004340552%	\$1,236,933.21	\$554,360.67	\$0.00	\$682,572.54
Cherokee	County	0.9440700745%	\$507,622.30	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$507,622.30
Chester	County	0.3947965211%	\$212,280.34	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$212,280.34
Chester	City/Town	0.1299573133%	\$69,877.48	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$69,877.48
Chesterfield	County	0.9443488664%	\$507,772.20	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$507,772.20
Clarendon	County	0.5705383575%	\$306,775.95	\$100,000.00	\$0.00	\$206,775.95
Clemson	City/Town	0.3311616877%	\$178,064.18	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$178,064.18
Colleton	County	0.8589365535%	\$461,846.39	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$461,846.39
Columbia	City/Town	2.3918060702%	\$865,521.54	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$865,521.54
Conway	City/Town	0.2894739402%	\$155,648.86	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$155,648.86
Darlington	County	1.6906462867%	\$909,053.03	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$909,053.03
Dillon	County	0.6608411417%	\$355,331.36	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$355,331.36
Dorchester	County	1.6015765975%	\$726,160.65	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$726,160.65
Easley	City/Town	0.8565835771%	\$460,581.20	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$460,581.20
Edgefield	County	0.3586097074%	\$192,822.86	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$192,822.86
Fairfield	County	0.3536421891%	\$127,972.24	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$127,972.24
Florence	County	2.2059006272%	\$1,186,103.01	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,186,103.01
Florence	City/Town	1.0065336904%	\$541,208.71	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$541,208.71
Forest Acres	City/Town	0.0995929056%	\$53,550.67	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$53,550.67
Fort Mill	City/Town	0.1714974802%	\$92,213.43	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$92,213.43
Fountain Inn	City/Town	0.1975697094%	\$106,232.36	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$106,232.36
Gaffney	City/Town	0.2044353782%	\$109,924.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$109,924.00
Georgetown	County	1.1895098900%	\$639,594.20	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$639,594.20
Georgetown	City/Town	0.2626233562%	\$141,211.42	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$141,211.42
Goose Creek	City/Town	0.5473575768%	\$294,311.75	\$140,000.00	\$0.00	\$154,311.75
Greenville	County	7.1502328364%	\$3,844,648.56	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$3,844,648.56
Greenville	City/Town	2.2705648395%	\$1,220,872.67	\$525,437.00	\$0.00	\$695,435.67
Greenwood	County	1.3388944490%	\$719,917.62	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$719,917.62

Greenwood	City/Town	0.0308220618%	\$16,572.88	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$16,572.88
Greer	City/Town	0.5590564672%	\$300,602.18	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,602.18
Hampton	County	0.3450376919%	\$185,525.24	\$60,666.71	\$0.00	\$124,858.53
Hanahan	City/Town	0.2279684840%	\$122,577.64	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$122,577.64
Hilton Head Island	City/Town	0.2323878458%	\$124,953.92	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$124,953.92
Horry	County	5.2166718879%	\$1,887,753.17	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,887,753.17
Irmo	City/Town	0.0942740906%	\$50,690.77	\$50,000.00	\$0.00	\$690.77
James Island	City/Town	0.0461551887%	\$24,817.44	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$24,817.44
Jasper	County	0.4278548317%	\$230,055.64	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$230,055.64
Kershaw	County	1.0780892823%	\$579,683.83	\$339,499.00	\$0.00	\$240,184.83
Kershaw Health	Hospital	0.0000000000%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Lancaster	County	1.4809282603%	\$796,288.57	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$796,288.57
Laurens	County	1.3598442946%	\$731,182.25	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$731,182.25
Lee	County	0.2176621820%	\$117,036.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$117,036.00
Lexington	County	4.4881391605%	\$2,413,252.56	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,413,252.56
Lexington	City/Town	0.2342104062%	\$4,009.45	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$4,009.45
Marion	County	0.6973242307%	\$374,948.16	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$374,948.16
Marlboro	County	0.4878238042%	\$262,300.69	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$262,300.69
Mauldin	City/Town	0.4253253929%	\$228,695.58	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$228,695.58
McCormick	County	0.1281623493%	\$68,912.32	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$68,912.32
Moncks Corner	City/Town	0.1965426445%	\$89,013.11	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$89,013.11
Mount Pleasant	City/Town	0.5750801889%	\$9,844.79	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$9,844.79
Myrtle Beach	City/Town	1.9068234068%	\$1,025,290.51	\$458,870.63	\$0.00	\$566,419.88
Newberry	County	0.5656551710%	\$304,150.29	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$304,150.29
Newberry	City/Town	0.0344864857%	\$18,543.22	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$18,543.22
North Augusta	City/Town	0.5139678525%	\$276,358.24	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$276,358.24
North Charleston	City/Town	1.7751090959%	\$607,418.29	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$607,418.29
North Myrtle Beach	City/Town	0.6366313248%	\$342,313.85	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$342,313.85
Oconee	County	2.8099515214%	\$1,510,898.50	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,510,898.50
Orangeburg	County	1.4543982912%	\$782,023.53	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$782,023.53
Orangeburg	City/Town	0.0468940344%	\$25,214.72	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$25,214.72
Pickens	County	2.8143347165%	\$1,080,961.02	\$151,300.00	\$0.00	\$929,661.02
Port Royal	City/Town	0.0206098617%	\$11,081.84	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$11,081.84
Richland	County	3.8816723839%	\$2,087,158.06	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,087,158.06
Rock Hill	City/Town	1.3120073555%	\$22,460.25	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$22,460.25
Saluda	County	0.2604801809%	\$94,259.77	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$94,259.77
Simpsonville	City/Town	0.3497064495%	\$188,035.61	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$188,035.61
Spartanburg	County	6.4084293395%	\$3,445,784.10	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$3,445,784.10
Spartanburg	City/Town	1.1890308958%	\$409,702.65	\$34,350.00	\$0.00	\$375,352.65
Summerville	City/Town	0.6446011912%	\$346,599.21	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$346,599.21
Sumter	County	0.9480995733%	\$509,788.94	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$509,788.94
Sumter	City/Town	0.5390642671%	\$289,852.47	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$289,852.47
Tega Cay	City/Town	0.0433365022%	\$742.58	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$742.58
Union	County	0.6499524020%	\$349,476.53	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$349,476.53
West Columbia	City/Town	0.3943858322%	\$212,059.51	\$205,308.02	\$0.00	\$6,751.49
Williamsburg	County	0.4931357629%	\$179,585.91	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$179,585.91
York	County	2.5800878865%	\$1,037,389.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,037,389.00
TOTAL			\$49,301,445.92	\$4,995,969.02	\$0.00	\$44,305,476.90

GUARANTEED POLITICAL SUBDIVISION SUBFUND - SINCE INCEPTION					
Subdivision	Type	Allocation %	Allocated Funds	Disbursements	Current Balance
Abbeville	County	0.3350059823%	\$180,131.24	\$0.00	\$180,131.24
Aiken	County	2.5661670597%	\$1,379,816.67	\$108,191.00	\$1,271,625.67
Aiken	City/Town	0.7838026892%	\$421,447.23	\$0.00	\$421,447.23
Allendale	County	0.1220441823%	\$65,622.60	\$0.00	\$65,622.60
Anderson	County	3.3392231904%	\$1,795,485.53	\$0.00	\$1,795,485.53
Anderson	City/Town	1.1735303052%	\$631,002.05	\$0.00	\$631,002.05
Bamberg	County	0.2705913372%	\$145,495.76	\$0.00	\$145,495.76
Barnwell	County	0.4653224769%	\$250,201.84	\$242,235.99	\$7,965.85
Beaufort	County	2.3364418352%	\$1,256,294.44	\$912,109.00	\$344,185.44
Beaufort	City/Town	0.0769982478%	\$41,401.61	\$0.00	\$41,401.61

Berkeley	County	2.0961440294%	\$1,127,087.37	\$427,881.00	\$699,206.37
Bluffton	City/Town	0.0481968917%	\$25,915.25	\$0.00	\$25,915.25
Calhoun	County	0.1833260393%	\$98,573.61	\$0.00	\$98,573.61
Cayce	City/Town	0.2572136960%	\$138,302.66	\$0.00	\$138,302.66
Charleston	County	3.8016438488%	\$2,044,127.08	\$985,136.00	\$1,058,991.08
Charleston	City/Town	2.3004340552%	\$1,236,933.21	\$554,360.67	\$682,572.54
Cherokee	County	0.9440700745%	\$507,622.30	\$0.00	\$507,622.30
Chester	County	0.3947965211%	\$212,280.34	\$0.00	\$212,280.34
Chester	City/Town	0.1299573133%	\$69,877.48	\$0.00	\$69,877.48
Chesterfield	County	0.9443488664%	\$507,772.20	\$0.00	\$507,772.20
Clarendon	County	0.5705383575%	\$306,775.95	\$100,000.00	\$206,775.95
Clemson	City/Town	0.3311616877%	\$178,064.18	\$0.00	\$178,064.18
Colleton	County	0.8589365535%	\$461,846.39	\$0.00	\$461,846.39
Columbia	City/Town	2.3918060702%	\$1,286,063.54	\$420,542.00	\$865,521.54
Conway	City/Town	0.2894739402%	\$155,648.86	\$0.00	\$155,648.86
Darlington	County	1.6906462867%	\$909,053.03	\$0.00	\$909,053.03
Dillon	County	0.6608411417%	\$355,331.36	\$0.00	\$355,331.36
Dorchester	County	1.6015765975%	\$861,160.65	\$135,000.00	\$726,160.65
Easley	City/Town	0.8565835771%	\$460,581.20	\$0.00	\$460,581.20
Edgefield	County	0.3586097074%	\$192,822.86	\$0.00	\$192,822.86
Fairfield	County	0.3536421891%	\$190,151.85	\$62,179.61	\$127,972.24
Florence	County	2.2059006272%	\$1,186,103.01	\$0.00	\$1,186,103.01
Florence	City/Town	1.0065336904%	\$541,208.71	\$0.00	\$541,208.71
Forest Acres	City/Town	0.0995929056%	\$53,550.67	\$0.00	\$53,550.67
Fort Mill	City/Town	0.1714974802%	\$92,213.43	\$0.00	\$92,213.43
Fountain Inn	City/Town	0.1975697094%	\$106,232.36	\$0.00	\$106,232.36
Gaffney	City/Town	0.2044353782%	\$109,924.00	\$0.00	\$109,924.00
Georgetown	County	1.1895098900%	\$639,594.20	\$0.00	\$639,594.20
Georgetown	City/Town	0.2626233562%	\$141,211.42	\$0.00	\$141,211.42
Goose Creek	City/Town	0.5473575768%	\$294,311.75	\$140,000.00	\$154,311.75
Greenville	County	7.1502328364%	\$3,844,648.56	\$0.00	\$3,844,648.56
Greenville	City/Town	2.2705648395%	\$1,220,872.67	\$525,437.00	\$695,435.67
Greenwood	County	1.3388944490%	\$719,917.62	\$0.00	\$719,917.62
Greenwood	City/Town	0.0308220618%	\$16,572.88	\$0.00	\$16,572.88
Greer	City/Town	0.5590564672%	\$300,602.18	\$0.00	\$300,602.18
Hampton	County	0.3450376919%	\$185,525.24	\$60,666.71	\$124,858.53
Hanahan	City/Town	0.2279684840%	\$122,577.64	\$0.00	\$122,577.64
Hilton Head Island	City/Town	0.2323878458%	\$124,953.92	\$0.00	\$124,953.92
Horry	County	5.2166718879%	\$2,804,981.39	\$917,228.22	\$1,887,753.17
Irmo	City/Town	0.0942740906%	\$50,690.77	\$50,000.00	\$690.77
James Island	City/Town	0.0461551887%	\$24,817.44	\$0.00	\$24,817.44
Jasper	County	0.4278548317%	\$230,055.64	\$0.00	\$230,055.64
Kershaw	County	1.0780892823%	\$579,683.83	\$339,499.00	\$240,184.83
Kershaw Health	Hospital	0.0000000000%	\$63,416.48	\$63,416.48	\$0.00
Lancaster	County	1.4809282603%	\$796,288.57	\$0.00	\$796,288.57
Laurens	County	1.3598442946%	\$731,182.25	\$0.00	\$731,182.25
Lee	County	0.2176621820%	\$117,036.00	\$0.00	\$117,036.00
Lexington	County	4.4881391605%	\$2,413,252.56	\$0.00	\$2,413,252.56
Lexington	City/Town	0.2342104062%	\$125,933.90	\$121,924.45	\$4,009.45
Marion	County	0.6973242307%	\$374,948.16	\$0.00	\$374,948.16
Marlboro	County	0.4878238042%	\$262,300.69	\$0.00	\$262,300.69
Mauldin	City/Town	0.4253253929%	\$228,695.58	\$0.00	\$228,695.58
McCormick	County	0.1281623493%	\$68,912.32	\$0.00	\$68,912.32
Moncks Corner	City/Town	0.1965426445%	\$105,680.11	\$16,667.00	\$89,013.11
Mount Pleasant	City/Town	0.5750801889%	\$309,218.06	\$299,373.27	\$9,844.79
Myrtle Beach	City/Town	1.9068234068%	\$1,025,290.51	\$458,870.63	\$566,419.88
Newberry	County	0.5656551710%	\$304,150.29	\$0.00	\$304,150.29
Newberry	City/Town	0.0344864857%	\$18,543.22	\$0.00	\$18,543.22
North Augusta	City/Town	0.5139678525%	\$276,358.24	\$0.00	\$276,358.24
North Charleston	City/Town	1.7751090959%	\$954,468.29	\$347,050.00	\$607,418.29
North Myrtle Beach	City/Town	0.6366313248%	\$342,313.85	\$0.00	\$342,313.85

Oconee	County	2.8099515214%	\$1,510,898.50	\$0.00	\$1,510,898.50
Orangeburg	County	1.4543982912%	\$782,023.53	\$0.00	\$782,023.53
Orangeburg	City/Town	0.0468940344%	\$25,214.72	\$0.00	\$25,214.72
Pickens	County	2.8143347165%	\$1,513,255.33	\$583,594.31	\$929,661.02
Port Royal	City/Town	0.0206098617%	\$11,081.84	\$0.00	\$11,081.84
Richland	County	3.8816723839%	\$2,087,158.06	\$0.00	\$2,087,158.06
Rock Hill	City/Town	1.3120073555%	\$705,460.55	\$683,000.30	\$22,460.25
Saluda	County	0.2604801809%	\$140,059.05	\$45,799.28	\$94,259.77
Simpsonville	City/Town	0.3497064495%	\$188,035.61	\$0.00	\$188,035.61
Spartanburg	County	6.4084293395%	\$3,445,784.10	\$0.00	\$3,445,784.10
Spartanburg	City/Town	1.1890308958%	\$639,336.65	\$263,984.00	\$375,352.65
Summerville	City/Town	0.6446011912%	\$346,599.21	\$0.00	\$346,599.21
Sumter	County	0.9480995733%	\$509,788.94	\$0.00	\$509,788.94
Sumter	City/Town	0.5390642671%	\$289,852.47	\$0.00	\$289,852.47
Tega Cay	City/Town	0.0433365022%	\$23,301.85	\$22,559.27	\$742.58
Union	County	0.6499524020%	\$349,476.53	\$0.00	\$349,476.53
West Columbia	City/Town	0.3943858322%	\$212,059.51	\$205,308.02	\$6,751.49
Williamsburg	County	0.4931357629%	\$265,156.91	\$85,571.00	\$179,585.91
York	County	2.5800878865%	\$1,387,301.84	\$349,912.84	\$1,037,389.00
TOTAL			\$53,832,973.95	\$9,527,497.05	\$44,305,476.90

South Carolina Opioid Recovery Fund Board
Statement of Financial Activities

	<i>April 2023</i>	Opioid Recovery Fund	Administrative Subfund	Guaranteed Political Subdivision Subfund	Discretionary Subfund
Transfers In/Source of Funds:					
	Opioid Recovery Settlement Fund (STO)	64,978,231.04	-	-	-
	Opioid Recovery Fund*		646,611.48	52,121,074.49	9,852,034.11
	Investment Earnings	453,375.63	-	-	-
	Total Transfers In:	65,431,606.67	646,611.48	52,121,074.49	9,852,034.11
Transfers Out:					
	Administrative Subfund*	646,611.48	-	-	-
	Guaranteed Political Subdivision Subfund*	53,832,973.95	-	-	-
	Discretionary Subfund*	9,852,034.11	-	-	-
	Total Transfer Out:	64,331,619.54	-	-	-
Expenses:					
	Personnel (Per Diem)	-	800.00	-	-
	Contractual Services		1,041.67		
	Supplies		3,566.19		
	Travel	-	3,419.50	-	-
	Distributions to applicants			9,527,497.05	
	Total Expenses:	-	8,827.36	9,527,497.05	-
Funds Remaining		1,099,987.13	637,784.12	42,593,577.44	9,852,034.11
Cash Recapitulation (YTD)					
	Beginning Cash Balance	-	-	-	-
	Transfers In*	65,431,606.67	646,611.48	52,121,074.49	9,852,034.11
	Transfers Out*	(64,331,619.54)	-	-	-
	Expenses	-	(8,827.36)	(9,527,497.05)	-
	A/P Adjustment	-	17.64	2,173,792.39	-
	Ending Cash Balance	1,099,987.13	637,801.76	44,767,369.83	9,852,034.11
* Amounts include a transfer for the funds received 03/10/2023 .					