

South Carolina Opioid Recovery Fund Board of Directors Meeting  
March 15, 2023  
Edgar A. Brown Building, 1205 Pendleton Street, Room 252, Columbia, SC

**Call to Order**

Board Chair Eric Bedingfield called the Board of Directors Meeting to order at 1:00 PM. Those in attendance and constituting a quorum were:

**Board Members Present:** Eric Bedingfield, Aditi Bussells, Martine Helou-Allen, Gary Mixon, Lisa Montgomery, Toby Chappell, Hon. Bruce Williams, Steve Donaldson, and Mayes DuBose

**Presentation to the Board:**

Michelle Nienhius from Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Services, and Dr. Arnold Alier from Department of Health and Environmental Control, presented about the Law Enforcement Officer Naloxone (LEON) Program and Reducing Opioid Loss of Life (ROLL) Program. The presenters informed the Board about the SAMHSA grant, which is a 5-year grant to supply South Carolina law enforcement and fire departments with NARCAN. The program does not provide NARCAN to EMS, but will supply all police officers and fire departments with NARCAN.

Ms. Helou-Allen raised the issue that SCORF applicants are requesting funds for NARCAN for police departments. Mr. Alier stated the LEON program has more than enough for the police departments in this state. The exception would be if the police departments seek to have a leave behind program or become community distributors.

Mr. Donaldson asked the process by which officers acquire NARCAN through LEON, and if it is possible for them to run out. Mr. Alier stated the officers report their NARCAN use via their phones and can order more via a regional pharmacy for in person delivery. Alternatively, officers can go to a local DHEC pharmacy to retrieve the additional NARCAN.

The second presenter, via Zoom, was Kelly Pietropaoli from XOMAD, a technology company located in Los Angeles. The company disseminates public health information by identifying social media influencers who are community leaders, such as faith leaders, coaches, teachers, etc. and has used this platform to message opioid prevention for youth.

Dr. Bussells asked the process for selecting presenters to the SCORF board. Ms. Williams answered that per prior Board discussions, administrative staff selects presenters who will be educational to the Board or to the fund applicants.

**Approval of Minutes from Last Meetings**

Motion to approve minutes from the January 5, 2023, SCORF Board meeting was made by Chairman Bedingfield and seconded by Toby Chappell, all ayes, m/c unanimous. Motion to approve minutes from the January 19, 2023, Zoom SCORF Board meeting was made by Dr. Bussells and seconded by Ms. Helou-Allen, all ayes, m/c unanimous.

#### **Attorney General's Office Report**

Jared Libet, Assistant Attorney General, reported that approval process by political subdivisions of the new settlement agreement with pharmacy chains and two manufacturers should conclude in about a month. He is optimistic there will be 100% participation which will provide additional settlement funds for the next 10-15 years.

Attorney Libet discussed the Mallinckrodt settlement funds and noted that undisputed funds have been negotiated and approved to be transferred to the Board.

Janssen has requested reporting regarding non-opioid related spending, which is the attorney's fees. The AG's office will complete this reporting because the Board is not involved with attorney's fees.

#### **Fund Financial Report**

Denise Carraway, SFAA Director of Budget and Finance, presented the Statement of Activities (attached). She also reported that \$4.5 million of GPS funds have been disbursed to the award applicants.

#### **SFAA Administrative Report**

Alana Williams, SFAA Director of Strategic Initiatives, announced new Virtual Coffee Chats with SCORF Board staff will be held the 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> Friday of each month beginning April 7<sup>th</sup> at 9:30 a.m. The Zoom link was posted to the website yesterday. These chats will allow the public to get news and updates regarding SCORF funds, ask questions, go over the application process, and discuss FAQs.

The SCORF Team met with the web designers about redesigning the GPS application format to streamline this process.

Ms. Williams inquired whether Board members are interested in receiving electronic tablets for SCORF Board work to eliminate the use of massive amounts of paper. Cost is \$1,677.97 per device plus costs for keyboard case and broadband fee. If Board members are interested, staff will order the tablets. Board members indicated they would like the tablets.

The Office of the State Auditor will conduct the first audit of the SC Opioid Recovery Fund, pursuant to statute. SFAA Finance has been working with the Auditor and the report will be presented to the Board once completed. Per recommendation of the State Auditor, Ms.

Carroway has engaged a consultant who is compiling the financial notes. The intent is to conduct the audit in April with a May draft deadline.

Dr. Bussells asked whether it is possible to monitor website traffic to see if traffic increases in order to gauge whether knowledge about the SCORF fund opportunity is getting out to the community. Ms. Williams will reach out to the website team to ask them.

### **Chairman's Report**

Chairman Bedingfield reported SCORF board meetings are now available to the public to view live via Zoom, linked on the SCORF website. This will allow the public to participate in Board meetings without having to attend them in person. When asked if the meeting recordings will be archived, Mr. Mike Allinger, SFAA Director of IT, said they will check with the website company.

Chairman Bedingfield stated that moving forward, the Board will not allow meeting attendees to speak from the audience about applications under consideration by the Board.

Mr. Bedingfield reminded Board members that media requests be forwarded to Ms. Williams and/or Attorney Libet.

### **New Business**

Chairman Bedingfield led a discussion regarding Discretionary Subfund applications. Chairman Bedingfield informed the Board there were 24 applications that did not meet a technical requirement of some kind, and he suggested since this is the first round of discretionary submissions, staff could reach out to applicants not meeting the technical criteria to give them an opportunity to make the necessary changes and resubmit the applications within five business days. Following discussion, a motion was made by Toby Chappell to allow for the brief time of corrections and seconded by Gary Mixon, with the agreement the Board will be very strict about the technical requirements moving forward. A vote was taken, and with a vote of 5 yeas: Toby Chappell, Eric Bedingfield, Gary Mixon, Lisa Montgomery, and Judge Williams and 4 nays: Steve Donaldson, Martine Helou-Allen, Mayes Dubose, Aditi Bussells. The motion passed to allow a 5-day window to make technical corrections this time only. Staff will contact the necessary applicants and explain the technical deficiencies and deadline for resubmission.

The discretionary applications were divided into teams for review and scoring. Ms. Braneck will email the application to the teams following the meeting. Ms. Williams added the time frame for scoring these applications is April 28 – May 3, 2023, which is around 7 weeks. Ms. Braneck noted that data for overdose death rates by region, as well as regional demographic data, are included for the Board's information.

Ms. Helou-Allen asked about the degree of the Board's discretion regarding agencies applying to SCORF that are already receiving Subfund money. Attorney Libet responded the Board has

as much or as little discretion as the board chooses to give itself in this regard, but the Board must be fair and consistent across all applications.

Mr. Chappell asked for clarification about the amount of available discretionary funds and future payments. Attorney Libet responded there is currently \$9.852 million available and explained how and in what amounts the anticipated discretionary funds will be disbursed in the coming years.

Ms. Williams suggested the Board contract with an epidemiologist who will help interpret, gather, and analyze data, then present it to the Board and answer questions. The goal is to do this in the summer for the next round of applications. Board members agreed.

The Board discussed consistency in scoring criteria within the groups. Chairman Bedingfield informed the Board they will score in teams but will need to come together to have a collective conversation before they award funds. Ms. Braneck explained how to apply and consider data to the applications, along with scoring, to determine need and awards for the applications. The Board briefly discussed distribution of funds and whether all should be spent in this round or whether to establish a funding limit.

The Board discussed the value of applicants taking to collaborate in their communities before applying and possibly working with planners prior to applying funds that will be available, and not wishing to have duplication of requests in counties on applications. Chairman Bedingfield asked the Board to establish a date for approving applications considering the additional revised applications. Ms. Braneck suggested the Board consider the project start date and work back to when funds need to be distributed and when funds must be used to establish a timeline. The Board decided the deadline for completing scoring sheets will be May 15<sup>th</sup> with a meeting the week of May 22<sup>nd</sup>. Attorney Libet reminded the Board about available funds for overnight stay costs related to board meetings.

The Board took a 15-minute meeting break. The meeting resumed at 3:05 PM.

Chairman Bedingfield led a discussion about GPS applications with the review of two draft forms. Staff presented a draft SCORF GPS Periodic Report Update form for Board review and approval. Dr. Bussells made a motion to approve the form with an amendment to add, "semi-annually" to the heading, and the verbiage, "output/outcome measures." Motion seconded and approved, all ayes, the motion passed. Ms. Braneck presented an "Amendment Request" form for review and approval that would allow awardees to make minor amendments to implementation plans. She requested that staff be allowed to approve these forms unless there is something unusual. There was a motion to approve by Aditi Bussells and seconded by Martine Helou-Allen, all ayes, the motion passed.

Chairman Bedingfield led discussion regarding pending GPS applications. The applications were reviewed by the Board as follows:

1. Myrtle Beach. Motion to approve by Martine Helou-Allen, request for \$458,870.63 and seconded by Lisa Montgomery, m/c unanimous.
2. Oconee County. Discussion that the application included a request for NARCAN and a \$75,000 body scanner is not an approved abatement strategy or evidence-based strategy. Also, it is not clear the purpose of the funding request as it relates to pregnant and post-partem women based upon services already provided. Motion by Steve Donaldson, to deny and ask for more clarity and strategies that are evidence-based, seconded by Martine Helou-Allen, m/c unanimous.
3. Goose Creek Police Department. Chairman Bedingfield suggested conditional approval of this application based on receiving a letter from a decision-maker for the political subdivision, rather than the agency. Motion to approve by Chair Bedingfield, request for \$140,000 and seconded Chair Bedingfield, m/c unanimous.
4. Clarendon County. A community distribution designation letter is needed for this application. Discussion regarding requests for drug analyzer, with Attorney Libet explaining that the words in the application are significant in determining the purpose of the request. Motion to approve by Gary Mixon, request for \$100,000 and seconded by Mayes Dubose, m/c unanimous.
5. City of Greenville. The Board discussed salaries requested in the application, and how the salaries may not be opioid specific according to their proposal. Attorney Libet said if the salaries seem reasonable, then they should take the applicant at their word the salaries will be used for opioid response. Motion to approve by Mayes Dubose, request for \$525,437 and seconded by Steve Donaldson, m/c unanimous.
6. Beaufort County. The county's application requests a longer period to spend the funds. Motion to approve by Mayes Dubose, request for \$619,733 and seconded by Aditi Bussells, upon condition of receiving a letter from the county administrator, m/c unanimous. Mr. Donaldson recused as required for board conflicts.
7. Charleston County. Mr. Chappell raised concerns about the sustainability of their \$600,000 recurring staffing cost request and using a lot of money for just salaries. Attorney Libet said funds will come in until 2033 and can include staff costs as the bulk of their funding. Motion to approve by Martine Helou-Allen, request for \$985,136 and seconded by Toby Chappell, upon condition of receiving their community distribution designation letter, m/c unanimous. Mr. Donaldson recused indicating a conflict.

8. Horry County. Issues for discussion include the need for a more detailed budget, some requests such as EMS that do not apply to their abatement strategy, and other requests that are not opioid abatement specific. The Board discussed other issues, including potential supplanting. There was a motion to deny by Toby Chappell and seconded by Steven Donaldson, m/c unanimous.
9. City of Cayce. Issues for discussion include details of the implementation plan and budget as they relate to approved abatement strategy, and their FD/EMS materials do not appear to apply to their strategy. Additional discussion about concerns with equipment requests that are not opioid abatement strategies, including a helmet. Motion to deny by Martine Helou-Allen and seconded by Lisa Montgomery, m/c unanimous.
10. Berkeley County. Ms. Williams explained Berkeley County has compiled seven applications from partners into one county application, and this format is something the Board will see more of as political subdivisions engage local partners regarding community needs and ideas prior to submitting applications. Issues for discussion include one of the community partners needs to provide more detail about how their programs work with opioid use disorder and the percentage of items used like vehicles for OUD, and the coroner partner requests funds for autopsies and toxicology do not align with listed abatement strategy. Ms. Braneck stated she called the County for clarity regarding the coroner partner's application and learned the money requested will pay for autopsies. The Board discussed autopsy data and this request does not appear to help with abatement. Mr. Donaldson raised issues with partner Remnant House requesting funds for paying off existing vehicles, current fuel costs, and reimbursement of insurance, and issues with partner CLM requesting funds to replace a fleet. Discussion that because the County's application includes several applications under one umbrella, and there were issues with only some of the partners, some could be approved, and some could be denied. Attorney Libet stated the Board should not assume the applicant wants them to approve in part and deny in part, or do line-item severability. He suggests the Board should give the County the opportunity to either instruct the Board to approve or deny portions of the application rather than consider the application only as a whole, or allow the County make corrections to the application and resubmit before the deadline. The Board decided to pass over this application while staff asks the county whether it prefers the Board to approve in part and deny in part and/or make corrections and resubmission.

The Board will conclude its review of the remaining GPS application via a Zoom meeting in early to mid April. A doodle poll will be sent to the Board to schedule both the April Zoom meeting and May meeting.

The meeting was adjourned at 4:21 PM.



## SETTLEMENT REPORT FOR MARCH 15, 2023 MEETING

The Treasurer received a payment of \$2,355,141.78 on January 31, 2023. This amount represents the 2023 payment from Mallinckrodt under the terms of the approved bankruptcy plan.

Pursuant to the terms of the agreement between the State of South Carolina and its participating political subdivisions, money shall be allocated as follows:

SOUTH CAROLINA OPIOID FUNDS OVERVIEW						
Date	Payment	Opioid Recovery	GPS Subfund	Disc Subfund	Adm Subfund*	Other
7/15/2022	\$12,550,750.48	\$10,291,615.39	\$8,572,915.62	\$1,512,867.46	\$102,916.15	\$102,916.16
9/15/2022	\$13,190,226.89	\$10,815,986.05	\$9,009,716.38	\$1,589,949.95	\$108,159.86	\$108,159.86
10/18/2022	\$50,788,528.57	\$41,703,668.26	\$34,538,442.49	\$6,337,494.05	\$413,865.86	\$413,865.86
1/31/2023	\$2,355,141.78	\$2,166,961.34	\$1,711,899.46	\$411,722.65	\$21,669.61	\$21,669.62
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$78,884,647.72</b>	<b>\$64,978,231.04</b>	<b>\$53,832,973.95</b>	<b>\$9,852,034.11</b>	<b>\$646,611.48</b>	<b>\$646,611.50</b>

\*half of the funds which will ultimately go to the Administrative Subfund are being retained in the Opioid Recovery Fund pending appropriate amendments to the South Carolina Opioid Settlement Allocation Agreement

GUARANTEED POLITICAL SUBDIVISION SUBFUND						
Subdivision	Type	Allocation %	Prior Balance	Expenditures	New Funds	Current Total
Abbeville	County	0.3350059823%	\$174,396.27	\$0.00	\$5,734.97	\$180,131.24
Aiken	County	2.5661670597%	\$1,335,886.47	\$0.00	\$43,930.20	\$1,379,816.67
Aiken	City/Town	0.7838026892%	\$408,029.32	\$0.00	\$13,417.91	\$421,447.23
Allendale	County	0.1220441823%	\$63,533.33	\$0.00	\$2,089.27	\$65,622.60
Anderson	County	3.3392231904%	\$1,738,321.39	\$0.00	\$57,164.14	\$1,795,485.53
Anderson	City/Town	1.1735303052%	\$610,912.39	\$0.00	\$20,089.66	\$631,002.05
Bamberg	County	0.2705913372%	\$140,863.51	\$0.00	\$4,632.25	\$145,495.76
Barnwell	County	0.4653224769%	\$242,235.99	\$0.00	\$7,965.85	\$250,201.84
Beaufort	County	2.3364418352%	\$1,216,296.90	\$299,376.00	\$39,997.54	\$956,918.44
Beaufort	City/Town	0.0769982478%	\$40,083.48	\$0.00	\$1,318.13	\$41,401.61
Berkeley	County	2.0961440294%	\$1,091,203.49	\$0.00	\$35,883.88	\$1,127,087.37
Bluffton	City/Town	0.0481968917%	\$25,090.17	\$0.00	\$825.08	\$25,915.25
Calhoun	County	0.1833260393%	\$95,435.25	\$0.00	\$3,138.36	\$98,573.61
Cayce	City/Town	0.2572136960%	\$133,899.42	\$0.00	\$4,403.24	\$138,302.66
Charleston	County	3.8016438488%	\$1,979,046.76	\$0.00	\$65,080.32	\$2,044,127.08
Charleston	City/Town	2.3004340552%	\$1,197,552.09	\$0.00	\$39,381.12	\$1,236,933.21
Cherokee	County	0.9440700745%	\$491,460.77	\$0.00	\$16,161.53	\$507,622.30
Chester	County	0.3947965211%	\$205,521.82	\$0.00	\$6,758.52	\$212,280.34
Chester	City/Town	0.1299573133%	\$67,652.74	\$0.00	\$2,224.74	\$69,877.48
Chesterfield	County	0.9443488664%	\$491,605.90	\$0.00	\$16,166.30	\$507,772.20
Clarendon	County	0.5705383575%	\$297,008.91	\$0.00	\$9,767.04	\$306,775.95
Clemson	City/Town	0.3311616877%	\$172,395.02	\$0.00	\$5,669.16	\$178,064.18
Colleton	County	0.8589365535%	\$447,142.26	\$0.00	\$14,704.13	\$461,846.39
Columbia	City/Town	2.3918060702%	\$1,245,118.22	\$420,542.00	\$40,945.32	\$865,521.54
Conway	City/Town	0.2894739402%	\$150,693.36	\$0.00	\$4,955.50	\$155,648.86
Darlington	County	1.6906462867%	\$880,110.87	\$0.00	\$28,942.16	\$909,053.03
Dillon	County	0.6608411417%	\$344,018.42	\$0.00	\$11,312.94	\$355,331.36
Dorchester	County	1.6015765975%	\$833,743.27	\$135,000.00	\$27,417.38	\$726,160.65
Easley	City/Town	0.8565835771%	\$445,917.35	\$0.00	\$14,663.85	\$460,581.20
Edgefield	County	0.3586097074%	\$186,683.82	\$0.00	\$6,139.04	\$192,822.86
Fairfield	County	0.3536421891%	\$184,097.85	\$62,179.61	\$6,054.00	\$127,972.24
Florence	County	2.2059006272%	\$1,148,340.21	\$0.00	\$37,762.80	\$1,186,103.01
Florence	City/Town	1.0065336904%	\$523,977.86	\$0.00	\$17,230.85	\$541,208.71
Forest Acres	City/Town	0.0995929056%	\$51,845.74	\$0.00	\$1,704.93	\$53,550.67
Fort Mill	City/Town	0.1714974802%	\$89,277.57	\$0.00	\$2,935.86	\$92,213.43



Fountain Inn	City/Town	0.1975697094%	\$102,850.16	\$0.00	\$3,382.20	\$106,232.36
Gaffney	City/Town	0.2044353782%	\$106,424.27	\$0.00	\$3,499.73	\$109,924.00
Georgetown	County	1.1895098900%	\$619,230.99	\$0.00	\$20,363.21	\$639,594.20
Georgetown	City/Town	0.2626233562%	\$136,715.57	\$0.00	\$4,495.85	\$141,211.42
Goose Creek	City/Town	0.5473575768%	\$284,941.54	\$0.00	\$9,370.21	\$294,311.75
Greenville	County	7.1502328364%	\$3,722,243.76	\$0.00	\$122,404.80	\$3,844,648.56
Greenville	City/Town	2.2705648395%	\$1,182,002.88	\$0.00	\$38,869.79	\$1,220,872.67
Greenwood	County	1.3388944490%	\$696,997.09	\$0.00	\$22,920.53	\$719,917.62
Greenwood	City/Town	0.0308220618%	\$16,045.24	\$0.00	\$527.64	\$16,572.88
Greer	City/Town	0.5590564672%	\$291,031.70	\$0.00	\$9,570.48	\$300,602.18
Hampton	County	0.3450376919%	\$179,618.54	\$0.00	\$5,906.70	\$185,525.24
Hanahan	City/Town	0.2279684840%	\$118,675.05	\$0.00	\$3,902.59	\$122,577.64
Hilton Head Island	City/Town	0.2323878458%	\$120,975.67	\$0.00	\$3,978.25	\$124,953.92
Horry	County	5.2166718879%	\$2,715,677.21	\$917,228.22	\$89,304.18	\$1,887,753.17
Irmo	City/Town	0.0942740906%	\$49,076.89	\$0.00	\$1,613.88	\$50,690.77
James Island	City/Town	0.0461551887%	\$24,027.31	\$0.00	\$790.13	\$24,817.44
Jasper	County	0.4278548317%	\$222,731.20	\$0.00	\$7,324.44	\$230,055.64
Kershaw	County	1.0780892823%	\$561,228.03	\$0.00	\$18,455.80	\$579,683.83
Kershaw Health	Hospital	0.0000000000%	\$63,416.48	\$63,416.48	\$0.00	\$0.00
Lancaster	County	1.4809282603%	\$770,936.57	\$0.00	\$25,352.00	\$796,288.57
Laurens	County	1.3598442946%	\$707,903.08	\$0.00	\$23,279.17	\$731,182.25
Lee	County	0.2176621820%	\$113,309.84	\$0.00	\$3,726.16	\$117,036.00
Lexington	County	4.4881391605%	\$2,336,420.13	\$0.00	\$76,832.43	\$2,413,252.56
Lexington	City/Town	0.2342104062%	\$121,924.45	\$121,924.45	\$4,009.45	\$4,009.45
Marion	County	0.6973242307%	\$363,010.67	\$0.00	\$11,937.49	\$374,948.16
Marlboro	County	0.4878238042%	\$253,949.64	\$0.00	\$8,351.05	\$262,300.69
Mauldin	City/Town	0.4253253929%	\$221,414.44	\$0.00	\$7,281.14	\$228,695.58
McCormick	County	0.1281623493%	\$66,718.31	\$0.00	\$2,194.01	\$68,912.32
Moncks Corner	City/Town	0.1965426445%	\$102,315.50	\$16,667.00	\$3,364.61	\$89,013.11
Mount Pleasant	City/Town	0.5750801889%	\$299,373.27	\$299,373.27	\$9,844.79	\$9,844.79
Myrtle Beach	City/Town	1.9068234068%	\$992,647.61	\$0.00	\$32,642.90	\$1,025,290.51
Newberry	County	0.5656551710%	\$294,466.84	\$0.00	\$9,683.45	\$304,150.29
Newberry	City/Town	0.0344864857%	\$17,952.85	\$0.00	\$590.37	\$18,543.22
North Augusta	City/Town	0.5139678525%	\$267,559.63	\$0.00	\$8,798.61	\$276,358.24
North Charleston	City/Town	1.7751090959%	\$924,080.21	\$347,050.00	\$30,388.08	\$607,418.29
North Myrtle Beach	City/Town	0.6366313248%	\$331,415.36	\$0.00	\$10,898.49	\$342,313.85
Oconee	County	2.8099515214%	\$1,462,794.95	\$0.00	\$48,103.55	\$1,510,898.50
Orangeburg	County	1.4543982912%	\$757,125.69	\$0.00	\$24,897.84	\$782,023.53
Orangeburg	City/Town	0.0468940344%	\$24,411.94	\$0.00	\$802.78	\$25,214.72
Pickens	County	2.8143347165%	\$1,465,076.75	\$432,294.31	\$48,178.58	\$1,080,961.02
Port Royal	City/Town	0.0206098617%	\$10,729.02	\$0.00	\$352.82	\$11,081.84
Richland	County	3.8816723839%	\$2,020,707.73	\$0.00	\$66,450.33	\$2,087,158.06
Rock Hill	City/Town	1.3120073555%	\$683,000.30	\$683,000.30	\$22,460.25	\$22,460.25
Saluda	County	0.2604801809%	\$135,599.89	\$45,799.28	\$4,459.16	\$94,259.77
Simpsonville	City/Town	0.3497064495%	\$182,048.99	\$0.00	\$5,986.62	\$188,035.61
Spartanburg	County	6.4084293395%	\$3,336,078.23	\$0.00	\$109,705.87	\$3,445,784.10
Spartanburg	City/Town	1.1890308958%	\$618,981.64	\$229,634.00	\$20,355.01	\$409,702.65
Summerville	City/Town	0.6446011912%	\$335,564.29	\$0.00	\$11,034.92	\$346,599.21
Sumter	County	0.9480995733%	\$493,558.43	\$0.00	\$16,230.51	\$509,788.94
Sumter	City/Town	0.5390642671%	\$280,624.23	\$0.00	\$9,228.24	\$289,852.47
Tega Cay	City/Town	0.0433365022%	\$22,559.97	\$22,559.27	\$741.88	\$742.58
Union	County	0.6499524020%	\$338,350.00	\$0.00	\$11,126.53	\$349,476.53
West Columbia	City/Town	0.3943858322%	\$205,308.02	\$0.00	\$6,751.49	\$212,059.51
Williamsburg	County	0.4931357629%	\$256,714.92	\$85,571.00	\$8,441.99	\$179,585.91
York	County	2.5800878865%	\$1,343,133.33	\$349,912.84	\$44,168.51	\$1,037,389.00
<b>TOTAL</b>			<b>\$52,121,074.49</b>	<b>\$4,531,528.03</b>	<b>\$1,711,899.46</b>	<b>\$49,301,445.92</b>

South Carolina Opioid Recovery Fund Board  
Statement of Financial Activities

	<i>February 2023</i>	Opioid Recovery Fund	Administrative Subfund	Guaranteed Political Subdivision Subfund	Discretionary Subfund
<b>Transfers In/Source of Funds:</b>					
	Opioid Recovery Settlement Fund (STO)*	62,811,269.70	-	-	-
	Opioid Recovery Fund		624,941.87	52,121,074.49	9,440,311.46
	Investment Earnings	250,825.53	-	-	-
	<b>Total Transfers In:</b>	<b>63,062,095.23</b>	<b>624,941.87</b>	<b>52,121,074.49</b>	<b>9,440,311.46</b>
<b>Transfers Out:</b>					
	Administrative Subfund	624,941.87	-	-	-
	Guaranteed Political Subdivision Subfund	52,121,074.49	-	-	-
	Discretionary Subfund	9,440,311.46	-	-	-
	<b>Total Transfer Out:</b>	<b>62,186,327.82</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>Expenses:</b>					
	Personnel (Per Diem)	-	800.00	-	-
	Contractual Services		575.59		
	Supplies		3,566.19		
	Travel	-	3,419.50	-	-
	Distributions to applicants			4,531,528.03	
	<b>Total Expenses:</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>8,361.28</b>	<b>4,531,528.03</b>	<b>-</b>
	<b>Funds Remaining</b>	<b>875,767.41</b>	<b>616,580.59</b>	<b>47,589,546.46</b>	<b>9,440,311.46</b>
<b>Cash Recapitulation (YTD)</b>					
	Beginning Cash Balance	-	-	-	-
	Transfers In	63,062,095.23	624,941.87	52,121,074.49	9,440,311.46
	Transfers Out	(62,186,327.82)	-	-	-
	Expenses	-	(8,361.28)	(4,531,528.03)	-
	A/P Adjustment	-	-	2,007,583.41	-
	Ending Cash Balance	875,767.41	616,580.59	49,597,129.87	9,440,311.46